

Author's accepted manuscript (postprint)

Experiences and perceptions of foreign-language customers on medication information received in the pharmacy – a focus group study

Sletvold, H. & Nguyen, T.

Published in: International Journal of Pharmacy Practice

DOI: 10.1093/ijpp/riab021

Available online: 08 May 2021

Citation:

Sletvold, H. & Nguyen, T. (2021). Experiences and perceptions of foreign-language customers on medication information received in the pharmacy – a focus group study. International Journal of Pharmacy Practice: riab021. doi: 10.1093/ijpp/riab021

This is a pre-copyedited, author-produced version of an article accepted for publication in International Journal of Pharmacy Practice following peer review. The version of record [Sletvold, H. & Nguyen, T. (2021). Experiences and perceptions of foreign-language customers on medication information received in the pharmacy – a focus group study. International Journal of Pharmacy Practice: riab021. doi: 10.1093/ijpp/riab021] is available online at: <https://academic.oup.com/ijpp/advance-article-abstract/doi/10.1093/ijpp/riab021/6272462?redirectedFrom=fulltext>

1 **Experiences and perceptions of foreign-language customers on medication**
2 **information received in the pharmacy – a focus group study**

3

4 Hege Sletvold, *Cand.pharm., PhD.*^{1*}, and Thianna Nguyen, *M.Pharm.*²

5

6 ¹Faculty of Nursing and Health Sciences, Nord University, Norway

7 ²Department of Clinical and Molecular Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences,
8 Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU)

9

10 *Corresponding author: Sletvold, Hege. Faculty of Nursing and Health Sciences, Nord University, PB
11 324, N-7501 Stjordal, Norway. Telephone: 0047-74212347, e-mail: hege.sletvold@nord.no

12

13 H.S. has contributed with Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation,
14 Writing - original draft, Project administration, Funding acquisition.

15 T.N. has contributed with Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Formal analysis, Investigation,
16 Writing - review & editing.

17

18 **Conflicts of interest**

19 T.N. and H.S. have none to declare.

20 **Funding**

21 This work was supported by the Faculty of Nursing and Health Sciences, Nord University, and Faculty
22 of Medicine and Health Sciences, NTNU.

23

24 **Caption list**

25 Table 1. Characteristics of study participants

26 Table 2. Overview of the resulting themes and subthemes, including quotations.

27 Table 3. Overview of the communication facilitators identified in the study.

28

29 **Abstract**

30 **Background:** In pharmacies, communication is essential for providing information about medicine
31 and counselling customers on the correct use of medications. Previous studies have described
32 pharmacist experiencing language and cultural barriers in communication with foreign-language (FL)
33 customers.

34 **Objective:** This study aimed to explore FL customer experiences and perceptions of medication
35 information received in the pharmacy.

36 **Methods:** A qualitative method was used, including interviews in five focus groups. Study
37 participants (N=18) spoke Arabic or Kurdish but lived in Norway and had the experience of
38 purchasing medicine over the counter and/or prescription medicines in a Norwegian pharmacy. A
39 descriptive thematic content analysis was conducted.

40 **Results:** Overall, the FL customers were satisfied with the pharmacy service. However, they were
41 divided in their views of the pharmacy role, which could affect how they received medication
42 information. Communication barriers were prominent, and FL customers related language and
43 cultural barriers to negative health outcomes. Their preferences on medication information were not
44 met. Several communication facilitators that could support medication information were mentioned:
45 simplified prescription labels, written information, pictograms, mobile apps, interpretators and
46 bilingual staff.

47 **Conclusions:** The FL pharmacy customers experience of communication barriers and unfulfilled
48 needs for medical information can be a threat to patient safety. To overcome the barriers and ensure
49 the correct use of medicines, HCP in pharmacies must apply an array of communication aids, adapted
50 to the diversity in language, culture and health literacy in the heterogenous population.

51

52 **Keywords:** community pharmacy; medication information; communication; foreign-language
53 customers; qualitative

54 **Introduction**

55 Communication between patients and health-care personnel (HCP) is central to delivering high-
56 quality healthcare, and language barriers are associated with several poor health outcomes:
57 increased risk of hospital readmission ¹, increased length of hospital stay ², poorly controlled
58 hypertension ³ and diabetes ⁴, and increased risk of adverse medical events ⁵. In pharmacies,
59 communication is essential in providing medication information and to counsel customers on the
60 correct use of medicines. It is known that information on medications supports adherence to
61 treatment ⁶. Traditionally, medication information for patients in pharmacies involves verbal
62 counselling supplemented by written information in the form of medicine prescription labels and
63 patient information leaflets (PIL) ⁷. Studies have described an ongoing need for prescription labels in
64 various languages to patient groups who experience language barriers, e.g., foreign-language (FL)
65 pharmacy customers ^{8,9}. Additionally, written medication information is to a small extent adapted to
66 user needs and can be difficult to understand ¹⁰.

67 Studies have found that pharmacists experience language and cultural barriers as a challenge when
68 communicating with FL customers ¹¹⁻¹⁴, which may influence the pharmaceutical service provided to
69 this population. A few qualitative studies have described pharmacists' experiences of communication
70 barriers in providing information service to FL customers ^{12, 13}. Pharmacists in Scotland report that
71 language barriers could influence the communication process and content, thereby hindering
72 patient-centred communication ¹². Norwegian pharmacists described it as challenging to provide
73 adequate service to FL customers, which greatly affected what kind of information and how much of
74 it was provided ¹³. Furthermore, pharmacists report regular contact with FL patients in giving them
75 advice ^{11, 13, 14}, emphasizing the relevance of this topic.

76 Knowledge of the patient experience is important for ensuring quality in healthcare, and a systematic
77 review reported a positive association between patient experience, patient safety, and clinical
78 effectiveness ¹⁵. A scoping review exploring the patient experience of healthcare among individuals
79 of limited native language proficiency, found that communication, language barriers, health literacy,
80 and relationships with HCP should be addressed ¹⁶. A qualitative study exploring the needs of culturally
81 and linguistically diverse Australians, found that pharmacies play a minimal role in facilitating the
82 correct use of medicines, largely due to language barriers and perceptions of the population ¹⁷. The
83 suboptimal communication between pharmacists and FL customers may lead to patients not taking
84 their medicines or using them incorrectly, both of which may lead to adverse health outcomes.

85 In 2020, 15 % of the Norwegian population were immigrants ¹⁸, thus, much of the population are
86 non-native speakers. Hence, there is a need for studies exploring patient's perspectives to gain in-
87 depth knowledge on medication information and counselling practices in pharmacies. The aim of this

88 study was to explore FL customers' experiences and perceptions on medication information received
89 in the pharmacy.

90

91 **Method**

92 This was a qualitative study with five focus group interviews of FL pharmacy customers. Focus groups
93 were used because they are suitable to explore perspectives of a group of people sharing knowledge
94 and experience. The data collection took place in Norway from February to October 2019. The study
95 utilized sensitive personal information, and the Norwegian Centre for Research Data (NSD) approved
96 the project (reference 782043).

97 **Study setting**

98 The study was done in four municipalities representing urban, suburban, and a rural district in mid-
99 Norway, with a population range from 4000 to 197 000 in 2020. The municipalities had a varying
100 number of pharmacies (from one to 18). Immigrants are defined as persons born abroad of two
101 foreign-born parents and four foreign-born grandparents. Immigrants from Syria and Iraq constitute
102 the second largest population group based on country background, with totally 55 212 immigrants.
103 Number of persons with refugee background were 238 281 in 2020 ¹⁸. Totally 33 846 adult
104 immigrants participated in Norwegian language training and social studies ¹⁸. There are regional
105 differences in the proportion of immigrants in the population, but Arabic-speaking immigrants are
106 prevalent in municipalities in mid-Norway.

107 **Sampling strategy**

108 Inclusion criteria were: Persons above the age of 18 who were non-native Norwegian speakers, had
109 purchased a medicine over the counter (OTC) and/or prescription medicines in a Norwegian
110 pharmacy, and were able to give their written consent to participate.

111 Study participants were recruited through refugee coordinators, who provided contact information
112 to teachers in Norwegian-language courses for adults. Teachers handed out written project
113 information (in Norwegian and Arabic) to potential participants, and subsequently collected consent
114 forms included contact information. In one municipality the researchers were invited to give a
115 project presentation at a school where FL citizens were attending. In one municipality, the health
116 nurse helped recruitment by contacting potential participants and distributing project information.
117 The participants received a gift card of 250,- NOK. Data saturation was achieved after five focus
118 groups. A preliminary data analysis was performed after four focus groups, and when performing the
119 fifth focus group, analysis yielded no novel subthemes but added examples within the existing.

120 **Data collection**

121 Data were collected in focus groups held at the adult education schools. Before the interviews
122 started, general project information was given, including aim of study, what participation entailed,
123 and what the results would be used for. Written consent was given by all participants. The interviews
124 lasted from 37 to 61 minutes. The researchers did not speak or understand spoken Arabic, and real-
125 time interpretation was used. The researcher asked questions in Norwegian, the interpreter asked
126 the questions in Arabic, and subsequently translated responses to the researchers as the discussion
127 occurred. All focus groups used a licensed public attendance interpreter from Salita TT AS, an ISO
128 certified (ISO9001-2015) language interpreter company.

129 The interviews were performed according to a predetermined guide (Appendix 1) that included
130 open-ended questions to stimulate discussion. The sessions started with asking all the participants to
131 respond to the first question in the guide on a predetermined sequence. Subsequently, they were
132 encouraged into an open discussion with natural progression but giving the interpreter the possibility
133 to translate. The researcher allowed all to speak and was otherwise free to probe answers with
134 follow-up questions not specified in the guide.

135 **Data analysis and result reporting**

136 The focus group discussions were audio recorded, and the Norwegian part of the interviews were
137 transcribed verbatim. A descriptive thematic content analysis was conducted by a four-step
138 process¹⁹. In the first step, the authors read the transcripts to get a general impression of the data
139 and identified preliminary themes that were compared and discussed. Secondly, both authors
140 independently read the transcripts and identified meaning units that related to the research
141 question. Author TN coded the transcripts according to the preliminary themes. Subsequently, the
142 authors met to discuss and align the meaning units into subthemes and themes, including adjusting
143 the preliminary themes. In the third step, Author TN used the meaning units to create a condensate
144 of the subthemes. The last step involved creating an analytical text, which represented the overall
145 subtheme discussion and study participants' quotes. To ensure trustworthiness and credibility of
146 findings, an audit trail was obtained. The results were reported according to Standards for Reporting
147 Qualitative Research (SRQR) ²⁰.

148

149 **Results**

150 In total, 18 informants participated in five focus groups. The age of the participants varied between
151 18 and 49 years (median age was 34 years). All participants understood spoken Arabic and
152 participated in adult education. In three of the focus groups, three informants participated,
153 otherwise the number of study participants were two and seven. Two focus groups comprised
154 women only, and three focus groups comprised both men and women. The focus groups were

155 otherwise heterogenous regarding education, years lived in Norway, and number of medicines used
156 (see Table 1). The native languages of the study participants were either Arabic (N = 13) or Kurdish (N
157 = 5).

158 All participants had experiences with receiving medication information in a pharmacy when
159 purchasing an OTC or prescription medicine. Generally, they talked about language barriers being
160 prominent and limiting for communication. The analysis identified three main themes that
161 summarize the participants' experiences and perceptions of medication information in the
162 pharmacy. The themes and subthemes are presented in Table 2 including participants quotations.

163 The themes are as follows:

164 **The pharmacy role**

165 Overall, the FL study participants were satisfied with the pharmacy service, and staff were perceived
166 as friendly, service minded, and willing to provide medication information. Many of the study
167 participants consulted the pharmacy for health-care advice or medication before going to the doctor,
168 and pharmacy visits were perceived easy and efficient.

169 In contrast, study participants in two of the focus groups perceived the pharmacy as a shop to
170 purchase medicines, and pharmacy staff as mere salespeople with whom they were reluctant to
171 discuss their health problems and ask questions. These FL customers questioned the pharmacy's role
172 in providing medication information.

173 The pharmacy system, structure, and routines were unfamiliar to most of the study participants.
174 During the interviews, they asked many questions revealing a need for information about e.g., the
175 electronic prescription system, generic substitution, medication reimbursement policy, and
176 pharmacy staff confidentiality. They were frustrated about being sent back and forth between the
177 doctor and the pharmacy, and were insecure regarding the role of HCP in giving medicine
178 information, whether this was the responsibility of the doctor or the pharmacy staff. The frustration
179 and lack of knowledge stole focus and could affect how they perceived the medication information
180 given.

181 **Communication barriers and facilitators**

182 Subjects in all focus groups experienced communication barriers when visiting a pharmacy. They had
183 problems communicating with the pharmacy staff due to poor language skills and/or poor reading
184 and writing skills and experienced missed opportunities to ask questions. The participants often
185 found themselves in situations where they did not understand the medication information given, and
186 perceived that language barriers could pose challenges to their medication management.

187 A cultural barrier that adversely affected communication was emphasized by the female participants
188 who had difficulties discussing their medical problems with male pharmacy staff. They were

189 uncomfortable asking questions about medicines for women or typically female health issues and the
190 treatment or self-care: e.g., contraceptives, menstruation, menopause, and pregnancy.

191 The participants said that the pharmacy staff used various facilitators in communicating information
192 about medicines, see Table 3. They differed in their opinions regarding prescription labels, which
193 they for the most part found to be in Norwegian. Some found prescription labels to be useless
194 because they could not read. Other participants could read, but did not read the prescription labels,
195 since sufficient information was given by the doctor. Others found them easy to understand, while
196 some found simplified labels valuable, as they understood numbers and some words. Regardless, the
197 participants highlighted the value of providing written medicine information, which could be
198 translated or explained to them by bilinguals at a later time. One participant mentioned PIL as a
199 source of information.

200 The FL pharmacy customers used various communication facilitators, see Table 3. For example, they
201 searched the Internet for medication information and used Google translate. However, they
202 worried about the translations not always being correct.

203 **Preferences and information received**

204 Most of the participants wanted medication information about dosage, side effects, drug-drug and
205 food-drug interactions, storage conditions, advice about administration, and what to do if a
206 medication dose was missed. Regarding the format of medication information, the preferences of
207 the FL customers were mixed. Some preferred written information only; others wanted verbal
208 information in combination with written information. Some mentioned the usefulness of pictures
209 and illustrations in written information, and bilingual pharmacy staff was acknowledged as positive.
210 One focus group discussed the need for a mobile app that could provide useful medication
211 information in different languages.

212 When consulting the pharmacy, they had received information on medicine dosage and
213 administration method, and both oral and written information. They perceived that the information
214 provided varied among different pharmacies and individual staff members. Furthermore, many of
215 the participants perceived that the information provided was insufficient, they wanted more
216 information. Four of the FL customers believed inadequate medication information resulted in
217 negative consequences, for which two had experienced adverse drug reactions, and two participants
218 perceived it to influence their medication adherence.

219

220 **Discussion**

221 This study has explored experience and perception of FL pharmacy customers on medication
222 information, and identified relevant themes regarding the pharmacy role, communication barriers
223 and facilitators, and information on preferences and information received.

224 **Strengths and limitations**

225 A limitation of this study is the selection of participants, that has a narrow age distribution and
226 comprises only Arabic/Kurdish speaking FL customers attending language training, influencing
227 transferability of results. Moreover, the study population might differ from the overall Norwegian
228 immigrant population regarding communication barriers and challenges. The health literacy of the
229 study participants was not assessed. Another study limitation is the use of an interpreter that may
230 have affected the collection and quality of data. The interpreter sometimes asked the researcher to
231 paraphrase the questions, and there were a few times where the group discussions went on for a
232 long period of time, complicating the ability to translate correctly. Moreover, there might be
233 information in the interviews that was misinterpreted or inaccurate, since the interviews were
234 transcribed only in Norwegian. The composition of focus groups might have influenced and limited
235 participation and group dynamics; two focus groups comprised women only; three comprised both
236 men and women; one focus group comprised only two study participants.

237 The strength of this study includes providing valuable insights into patient experiences within
238 pharmacies, a context previously overlooked in the literature. The study has identified themes
239 regarding medication information that are relevant to other FL populations, and that adds new
240 knowledge on intercultural communication in pharmacies.

241

242 **Discussion of results in the context of previous studies**

243 FL customers perceived the pharmacy as a reliable healthcare service that provides useful
244 information on medicines, but experienced communication barriers and had unfulfilled needs for
245 medication information, which they related to negative consequences, e.g., adverse drug reactions.
246 Furthermore, FL customers were generally satisfied with the pharmacy healthcare service's
247 dispensing of medicines; however, the pharmacy staff members were sometimes considered
248 salespeople and did not meet the expectations of customers in giving medication information.
249 Similar results were found in Australia, where CALD community members were not aware of the
250 professional role of the pharmacist as being separate from the sales element¹⁷. Pharmacies should
251 take on their responsibility of advising their customers on the correct use of medicines, especially the
252 FL customers, as they experience communication barriers that represent a risk to patient safety¹⁻⁵.
253 Additionally, pharmacists must focus on their professional role in communication with their
254 customers, since a patient's relationship with the HCP is an important determinant of their
255 experience of care and can positively influence patient safety and effectiveness of therapy^{15,16}.
256 Furthermore, this study found that the frustration about the pharmacy system could affect FL
257 customers susceptibility to medical information, and may also influence whether they visit the

258 pharmacy for medical information and advice. Hence, education on the healthcare system including
259 pharmacies can be beneficial.

260 The communication barriers and facilitators identified in this study align with previous research,
261 comprising language and cultural barriers and facilitators such as interpretation, bilingual HCP, non-
262 verbal communication, simplified prescription labels, and written medication information¹⁶⁻¹⁷. In
263 contrast to the study by Mohammad and co-workers¹⁷, this study found that the FL customers
264 commonly used the Internet as a source of medication information. The quality of health information
265 on the Internet varies significantly²¹, and patients must read the information critically. The
266 participants in this study mentioned the possibility of incorrect translation in Google translate,
267 however, did not reflect on the quality of the webpages they used to find medicine information. Skills
268 in retrieving high-quality information is needed when using internet as source, and access may not
269 assure understanding, as health literacy play a role in evaluating online health information²².

270 Pharmacists experience barriers in communication with FL customers with limited native language
271 proficiency^{8, 11-14}, including insufficient provision of customised written medication information^{8,9}.
272 This aligns with the results of this study, describing an unfulfilled need of medication information
273 among FL customers. A scoping review also describes unmet informational needs among limited
274 native language proficiency patients and how this has a negative impact on health literacy¹⁶.
275 Moreover, this evidence highlights the need for facilitatory communication interventions, as
276 communication between patients and HCP is essential for adherence to medication therapies and
277 positive health outcomes¹⁻⁶. Examples of facilitatory communication aids mentioned by the
278 participants in this study, were bilingual HCP, pictograms, and a mobile app. Medication counselling
279 combined with customized written information including pictograms may also be beneficial, as
280 pictograms used in patient counselling support proper use of medicines and are particularly useful to
281 patient populations at a high risk of non-adherence, such as patients with low health literacy²³.

282 Digital communication tools might be appropriate for some FL-customers, e.g., digital multilingual
283 PILs, including pictograms. An example is the FIP Pictogram Software PictoRX²⁴. However, care must
284 be taken to adapt written medicine information, communication tools and other interventions to the
285 diversity of FL pharmacy customers. Effective health communication must take into consideration
286 both health literacy, language, and culture among the diverse populations²⁵. This complexity
287 demands an integrated approach that requires an interprofessional collaboration and the
288 involvement and empowerment of the FL customers. The results of this study form the basis for
289 further interventional research and quality improvement work.

290

291 **Conclusions**

292 Healthcare quality depends on knowledge about the patient experience, including minority
293 populations like FL pharmacy customers. Additionally, language barriers and health literacy are
294 known to pose a risk to quality of healthcare^{1-5, 25}. This study provides in-depth knowledge of the
295 patient experience of medication information within the pharmacy context, where communication
296 barriers between FL customers and HCP were evident. Furthermore, preferences on medication
297 information were not met, which they believed had negative consequences. To improve healthcare
298 quality for FL customers, HCP in pharmacies must apply an array of communication aids. Further
299 research should focus on interventions that can facilitate communication between FL customers and
300 HCP in pharmacies, adapting to the heterogeneous population and addressing needs regarding
301 language, culture and health literacy.

302

303 **References**

- 304 1. Karliner LS et al. Influence of language barriers on outcomes of hospital care for general
305 medicine inpatients. *J Hosp Med*. 2010;5(5): 276-82.
- 306 2. John-Baptiste A et al. The effect of English language proficiency on length of stay and in-
307 hospital mortality. *J Gen Intern Med*. 2004;19(3): 221-8.
- 308 3. Kim EJ et al. Disparities in hypertension associated with limited English proficiency. *J Gen*
309 *Intern Med*. 2017;32(6): 632-9.
- 310 4. Parker MM et al. Association of patient-physician language concordance and glycemic control
311 for limited-English proficiency Latinos with type 2 diabetes. *JAMA Intern Med*. 2017;177(3):
312 380-7.
- 313 5. Cohen AL et al. Are language barriers associated with serious medical events in hospitalized
314 pediatric patients? *Pediatrics*. 2005;116(3): 575-9.
- 315 6. E. Sabaté, Adherence to Long-term Therapies: Evidence for Action. World Health
316 Organization (WHO), Geneva, Switzerland, 2003. Report No.: ISBN 92 4 154599 2. Available
317 at https://www.who.int/chp/knowledge/publications/adherence_report/en/
- 318 7. Puspitasari HP et al. A review of counseling practices on prescription medicines in community
319 pharmacies. *Res Soc Adm Pharm*. 2009;5(3): 197-210.
- 320 8. Bradshaw M et al. Language barriers to prescriptions for patients with limited English
321 proficiency: a survey of pharmacies. *Pediatrics*. 2007;120(2): e225-35.
- 322 9. Zargarzadeh AH, Law AV. Access to multilingual prescription labels and verbal translation
323 services in California. *Res Soc Adm Pharm*. 2011;7(4): 338-46.

- 324 10. Raynor DK et al. A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative research on the role and
325 effectiveness of written information available to patients about individual medicines. *Health*
326 *Technol Assess.* 2007;11(5): 1-160.
- 327 11. Chang E et al. Language barriers in the community pharmacy: a survey of northern and
328 western Auckland. *J Prim Health care.* 2011;3(2): 102-6. <https://doi.org/10.1071/HC11102>
- 329 12. Cleland JA et al. Community pharmacists' perceptions of barriers to communication with
330 migrants. *Int J Pharm Pract.* 2012;20(3): 148-54.
- 331 13. Hakonsen H et al. Cultural barriers encountered by Norwegian community pharmacists in
332 providing service to non-Western immigrant patients. *Int J Clin Pharm.* 2014;36(6): 1144-51.
- 333 14. Schwappach DL et al. Communication barriers in counselling foreign-language patients in
334 public pharmacies: threats to patient safety? *Int J Clin Pharm.* 2012;34(5): 765-72.
- 335 15. Doyle C, Lennox L, Bell D. A systematic review of evidence on the links between patient
336 experience and clinical safety and effectiveness. *BMJ Open* 2013;3:e001570. doi:
337 10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001570
- 338 16. Yeheskel A, Rawal S. Exploring the 'patient experience' of individuals with limited English
339 proficiency: a scoping review. *J Immigr Minor Health.* 2019;21(4): 853-78.
- 340 17. Mohammad A, et al. Exploring culturally and linguistically diverse consumer needs in relation
341 to medicines use and health information within the pharmacy setting. *Res Soc Adm Pharm.*
342 2015;11(4): 545-59.
- 343 18. Statistics Norway. Immigrants and Norwegian-born to immigrants parents. Available at:
344 <https://www.ssb.no/en/befolkning/statistikker/innvbef/aar> (accessed 13 October 2020)
- 345 19. Malterud K. Systematic text condensation: a strategy for qualitative analysis. *Scand J Public*
346 *Health.* 2012;40(8): 795-805.
- 347 20. O'Brien BC et al. Standards for reporting qualitative research: a synthesis of
348 recommendations. *Acad Med.* 2014;89(9): 1245-51.
- 349 21. Fahy E et al. Quality of patient health information on the internet: Reviewing a complete and
350 evolving landscape. *Australas Med J.* 2014;7(1): 24-28.
- 351 22. Diviani et al. Low health literacy and evaluation of online health information: a systematic
352 review of the literature. *J Med Internet Res.* 2015;17(5): e112.
- 353 23. Sletvold H et al. Impact of pictograms on medication adherence: A systematic literature
354 review. *Patient Educ Couns.* 2020;103(6): 1095-103.
- 355 24. Mohan A et al. PictureRx: Illustrated medication instructions for patients with limited health
356 literacy. *J Am Pharm Assoc.* 2012;52(5): e122-e129.
- 357 25. Andrulis DP, Brach C. Integrating literacy, culture, and language to improve health care
358 quality for diverse populations. *Am J Health Behav.* 2007;31(1): 122-133

360 Table 1. Characteristics of study participants

Age	Range women (median)	21-46 (30) years
	Range men (median)	18-49 (37) years
		Number of participants
Gender	Female	9
	Male	9
Years lived in Norway	0-1	2
	2-3	11
	4-5	5
Education	Primary school	6
	Secondary school	5
	High school	2
	University	5
Number of medicines in use	1-2	8
	3-4	0
	>5	1
	<i>Pro re nata</i>	4
	Missing	5

361

Table 2. Overview of the resulting themes and subthemes, including quotations.

Main theme	Subthemes	Quotations
The pharmacy role	Health-care service	<i>I usually go to the pharmacy first, before I go to the doctor here in Norway. Sometimes I feel that I get better information in the pharmacy than from the doctor. (Participant #5)</i>
	Pharmacy staff as salespeople	<i>For example, in Syria, when we go to the pharmacy when you have a problem, then you can ask those who work in the pharmacy to give you a medicine without you having to go to the doctor. In Norway, a pharmacy employee is a salesperson and not someone you can talk with about your ailment. So, you can't get medicine without going to the doctor. (Participant #2)</i>
	Unfamiliar systems and routines	<i>In Norway the relationship between the doctor and the pharmacy is complicated. Complicated also for us who are unable to speak the language... In Syria, when the doctor writes a prescription, the doctor usually informs whether the medicine should be used before or after food. Here in Norway, we do not get that information. (Participant #4)</i>
Communication barriers and facilitators	Language barriers	<i>The last time I visited a pharmacy was one month ago. There were challenges in relation to the use of medicine and the explanation of how to use it. Because no one speaks our mother tongue. (Participant #4)</i>
	Cultural barriers	<i>"They tried to explain it to me, but it was medicine only for women. It was a woman's medicine. It was hard for me to know how to use it." (Participant #1)</i>
	Facilitators used by pharmacists	<i>Although it says here how many times to take the medicine (points to the label on the medicine), there are some who cannot read at all. I can read and understand the numbers but not the words on the labels. (Participant #7)</i>
	Facilitators used by FL customers	<i>One time I got medicine from the pharmacy, but I did not understand what I was going to use it for. Because I did not understand the language. I took a mobile picture of the medicine note to get it translated in Google translate, but I found the translation to be bad. Then, I wrote the medicine name in Google Chrome, and then you can automatically choose the page you open to be translated into Arabic. Then I got the whole thing translated. (Participant #6)</i>
Preferences and information received	The preferences of FL customers	<i>"For example, when going to the pharmacy to get my medicine, then I wonder if there are side effects when using the medicine. I have not been informed about that." (Participant #18)</i>
	Information provided by pharmacy staff	<i>"She said to me, use cream on your daughter twice daily for a week, against eczema. She said it repeatedly in Norwegian, talked slowly. Lubricate each morning and each evening." (Participant #15)</i>
	Unfulfilled need and consequences	<i>"When I was pregnant, I had low iron levels, and got iron tablets. I received no information about side effects, and was very unwell, with nausea. Then I went to the midwife who explained that it could be side effects, and it could get better if I took the tablet in the evening combined with vitamin C. Then it got better. It may have been a small unfortunate event, but it meant a lot to me." (Participant #10)</i>

Table 3. Overview of the communication facilitators identified in the study.

Facilitators used by pharmacists	Facilitators used by FL customers	Other facilitators mentioned
Non-verbal signals	Non-verbal signals	Written medicine information
Google translate	Google translate	Pictograms (pictures, illustrations)
Multilingual staff	Internet	Mobile app with multilingual medication information
Simplified prescription labels	Interpreters (family and friends)	