

Thermal stress resistance of the brown alga *Fucus serratus* along the North-Atlantic coast: acclimatization potential to climate change

Alexander Jueterbock^{a,*}, Spyros Kollias^a, Irina Smolina^a, Jorge M.O. Fernandes^a, James A. Coyer^b, Jeanine L. Olsen^c, Galice Hoarau^a

^aFaculty of Biosciences and Aquaculture, University of Nordland, 8049 Bodø, Norway

^bShoals Marine Laboratory, Cornell University, Portsmouth, NH 03801, USA

^cMarine Benthic Ecology and Evolution Group, Centre for Ecological and Evolutionary Studies, University of Groningen, Nijenborgh 7, 9747 AG Groningen, The Netherlands

Abstract

Seaweed-dominated communities are predicted to disappear south of 45°latitude on North-Atlantic rocky shores by 2200 because of climate change. The extent of predicted habitat loss, however, could be mitigated if the seaweeds' physiology is sufficiently plastic to rapidly acclimatize to the warmer temperatures. The main objectives of this study were to identify whether the thermal tolerance of the canopy-forming seaweed *Fucus serratus* is population-specific and where temperatures are likely to exceed its tolerance limits in the next 200 years. We measured the stress response of seaweed samples from four populations (Norway, Denmark, Brittany and Spain) to common-garden heat stress (20 °C –36 °C) in both photosynthetic performance and transcriptomic upregulation of heat shock protein genes. The two stress indicators did not correlate and likely measured different cellular components of the stress response, but both indicators revealed population-specific differences, suggesting ecotypic differentiation. Our results confirmed that thermal extremes will regularly reach physiologically stressful levels in Brittany (France) and further south by the end of the 22nd century. Although heat stress resilience in photosynthetic performance was higher at the species' southern distributional edge in Spain, the *hsp* expression pattern suggested that this edge-population experienced reduced fitness and limited responsiveness to further stressors. Thus, *F. serratus* may be unable to mitigate its predicted northward shift and may be at high risk to lose its center of genetic diversity and adaptability in Brittany (France). As it

is an important intertidal key species, the disappearance of this seaweed will likely trigger major ecological changes in the entire associated ecosystem.

Keywords: global warming, heat stress, macroalgae, heat shock protein, photosynthetic performance

1. Highlights

- *F. serratus* shows patterns of local thermal adaptation
- *F. serratus* will experience stressful temperatures in Brittany and Spain by 2200
- *F. serratus* may become extinct from the North-Iberian Peninsula under climate change

2. Introduction

2.1. Increasing thermal stress in the North-Atlantic intertidal

Heat waves have become more frequent and extreme throughout the 20th century and are predicted to increase in the 21st century (Easterling et al., 2000; Meehl et al., 2007). On a global scale, species are responding to thermal stress with phenological changes and distributional range shifts that often involve local extinction (Hickling et al., 2006; Walther et al., 2002). The response of marine rocky intertidal species is often considered an early warning signal of climate change (Pearson et al., 2009) since they generally live close to their upper thermal tolerance limits and have low potential to respond to further rising temperatures (Somero, 2010; Tomanek, 2010). Intertidal species along North-Atlantic shores will experience up to 4 °C warmer water temperatures by the end of the 21st century (Müller et al., 2009) and a 5 to 10 times higher frequency of heat waves within the next 40 years (Barriopedro et al., 2011; Schär et al., 2004). In order to better understand the impact of increasing numbers of heat waves upon rocky intertidal shores, it is important to investigate the acclimatization potential of foundational key species (sensu Dayton, 1972) that play a pivotal role for the structure of the intertidal rocky-shore community.

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +47 755 17185, fax: +47 755 17457

Email address: Alexander-Jueterbock@web.de (Alexander Jueterbock)

2.2. *An intertidal key species under thermal stress*

The brown seaweed *Fucus serratus* provides habitat and food for a highly diverse community of species (Fredriksen et al., 2005), thus playing a key role in the Northeast-Atlantic rocky intertidal where it inhabits rocky shores from northern Portugal to northern Norway (Lüning et al., 1990). On the Northwest-Atlantic coast, *F. serratus* was introduced to Nova Scotia (Canada) 100-150 yrs ago (Brawley et al., 2009). A recent study predicted that *F. serratus*, together with two other macroalgal key species (*F. vesiculosus* and *Ascophyllum nodosum*), will disappear by 2200 from North-Atlantic shores south of 45 ° latitude under projected climate change (Jueterbock et al., 2013).

While the North-Iberian Peninsula is one of three putative glacial refugia where *F. serratus* survived the Last Glacial Maximum (18-20 kya) (Hoarau et al., 2007), its within-population genetic diversity eroded during thermally induced cycles of range contractions and expansions (Coyer et al., 2003). This may impede phenotypic plasticity and adaptive evolvability (Bijlsma and Loeschcke, 2012) and thus could explain maladaptation to warm thermal stress in northern Portugal (Pearson et al., 2009) and inhibition of growth, physiological performance (Martínez et al., 2012) and reproductive capacity (Arrontes, 1993; Viejo et al., 2011) by extreme summer temperatures in northern Spain.

In contrast, the other two refugia, Southwest-Ireland and Brittany, are hot-spots of genetic diversity (Coyer et al., 2003; Hoarau et al., 2007) and thus may be more resilient to climate change (Ehlers et al., 2008). Moreover, the low dispersal potential and small-scale genetic differentiation of *F. serratus* (Coyer et al., 2003) might favor local thermal adaptation (Hampe and Petit, 2005). Thermal acclimatization and local thermal adaptation are crucial factors to assess a species' extinction risk under climate change but their geographical pattern along the distributional range of *F. serratus* are presently unknown.

2.3. *Physiological acclimatization to thermal extremes*

A universal strategy of molecular acclimatization to stressful temperatures is the heat shock response (HSR), which involves the transcriptional up-regulation of heat shock proteins (HSPs). HSPs act as molecular chaperones and protect the organism from inappropri-

49 ate interactions of denatured or aggregated non-native proteins (Feder and Hofmann, 1999).
50 Some HSP forms can be used as universal stress biomarkers since their genes are highly
51 conserved among widely disparate species and their expression level is induced by different
52 forms of environmental stress (Feder and Hofmann, 1999). The response is, however, limited
53 by the corresponding energetic costs and cytotoxic effects it involves (reviewed in Feder and
54 Hofmann, 1999; Sørensen and Loeschcke, 2007).

55 Photosynthetic performance is another sensitive indicator of thermo tolerance in pho-
56 tosynthetic organisms, as photosynthesis is specifically sensitive to heat stress (Berry and
57 Bjorkman, 1980). Photosystem II (PS II) was shown to be affected first, with warm tempera-
58 tures negatively influencing carbon metabolism and electron transport in the photosynthetic
59 apparatus (Berry and Bjorkman, 1980).

60 *2.4. Objectives*

61 The main aim of this study was to identify whether the acclimation potential of *F.*
62 *serratus* could mitigate its predicted extinction from shores south of 45 °N under climate
63 change scenarios. More specifically, we addressed three questions:

- 64 1. Is photosynthetic performance and *hsp* expression of *F. serratus* under acute heat
65 stress population-specific, thus indicating local adaptation?
- 66 2. How is individual variation in *hsp* gene expression correlated with photosynthetic per-
67 formance?
- 68 3. Where will temperatures rise over the next 200 years beyond the thermal tolerance
69 limits of *F. serratus* and thus threaten it with extinction?

70 **3. Materials and methods**

71 *3.1. Common garden heat stress experiments*

72 We collected ≥ 30 adult individuals of *F. serratus* from four locations covering the species'
73 latitudinal range of distribution (see Figure 1 and mapped sampling sites in supplementary
74 material S8) during a span of four weeks in May/June 2011: 1) Kirkenes, Norway (69° 47'

75 24.36" N, 30° 47' 26.94" E), 2) Blushøj, Denmark (56° 10' 1.56" N, 10° 43' 57.98" E), 3)
76 Roscoff, Brittany (48° 42' 46.71" N, 4° 1' 18.62" W), and 4) La Coruña, Spain (43° 21'
77 59.14" N, 8° 23' 17.51" W). The individuals were transported to the wetlab facilities of the
78 University of Nordland in Mørkvedbukta (Bodø, Norway) and placed in one of two aquaria
79 (1m x 1m x 0.5m, Norwegian and Danish samples in one, Brittany and Spanish samples
80 in the other) within 1 - 2 days after collection, then acclimated for >4 weeks to ca. 9 °C
81 running natural seawater (both aquaria connected with the same water flow-through), a 16:8
82 h L:D cycle, and 40 - 70 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (OSRAM Fluora, 150 Watt). Common-garden
83 heat stress experiments were conducted from July to December 2012, consisting of 4 apical
84 tips (ca. 5cm) cut from each of 6 - 10 individuals in each population. Three of the 4 tips
85 were transferred for 1h to aquaria in which water temperature was increased.

86 We applied 5 stress temperatures in 5 independent experiments with longer acclimation
87 times for the experiments that were carried out later in the year: 1) 20 °C stress after 8
88 weeks of acclimation, 2) 24 °C stress after 7 weeks of acclimation, 3) 28 °C stress after 23
89 weeks of acclimation, 4) 32 °C stress after 7 weeks of acclimation, and 5) 36 °C stress after 8
90 weeks of acclimation. Temperatures ≥ 24 °C exceed the maximum in situ water temperatures
91 experienced by *F. serratus*, even at its southern distribution limit (Martínez et al., 2012;
92 Pearson et al., 2009), but *Fucus* canopy-temperatures can exceed 30 °C during summer in
93 North-Portugal (Pearson et al., 2009). With the selected stress temperature range (20 °C -
94 36 °C), we aimed for a forced response covering the stressful to thermal temperature limits
95 of all four populations in order to identify population-specific differences in photosynthetic
96 performance and gene expression. One tip per individual was used to measure photosynthetic
97 performance and heat shock protein gene expression from the same 6 - 10 individuals at 4
98 different time points: 1) before heat stress (control, 1st tip), 2) after 15 min heat stress (2nd
99 tip), 3) after 60 min of heat stress 3rd tip), and 4) after 24 h recovery at 9 °C (4th tip).

100 3.2. Photosynthetic performance

101 We measured from each sample (3 measurements/sample) the increase in chlorophyll a
102 fluorescence upon illumination after a ≥ 15 min dark period (OJIP curve (Bussotti et al.,

103 2010), also called the Kautsky effect (Kautsky (1960) in Maxwell and Johnson, 2000)) with
 104 a PAM-Fluorometer (FluorPen FP100, Photon Systems Instruments) using a saturating
 105 pulse of 73%. From these measurements, we extracted the performance index (Pi_{ABS})
 106 (Strasser et al., 2000) reflecting the functionality of PS II and photosynthetic performance
 107 in general (Bussotti et al., 2010; Stefanov et al., 2011; Živčák et al., 2008) by combining
 108 three parameters: 1) the density of reaction centers, 2) the electron transport at the onset
 109 of illumination, and 3) the maximum energy flux reaching the reaction center in PS II.
 110 Pi_{ABS} is calculated as follows: $Pi_{ABS} = \frac{1-(F_0/F_M)}{M_0/V_J} x \frac{F_M-F_0}{F_0} x \frac{1-V_J}{V_J}$, where F_0 is the minimal
 111 fluorescence intensity in a dark adapted frond when all reaction centers are opened (all
 112 quinone acceptors are oxidized and can accept electrons), F_J is the fluorescence intensity
 113 at 2 ms illumination, F_M is the maximum fluorescence intensity when all reaction centers
 114 are closed (all quinone acceptors are reduced), V_J is relative variable fluorescence at 2 ms
 115 calculated as $V_J = (F_J F_0)/(F_M F_0)$, and M_0 reflects the initial slope of fluorescence kinetics,
 116 calculated as $M_0 = 4 * (F_{300\mu s} F_0)/(F_M F_0)$ (Živčák et al., 2008).

117 To test for potential maternal or genetic effects on photosynthetic performance, we com-
 118 pared Pi_{ABS} values between the control samples (acclimated to 9 °C for ≥ 4 weeks) of each
 119 of the four populations. We calculated estimators of nonparametric Tukey contrast effects
 120 and associated p-values using the function “nparcomp” with the R package ‘nparcomp’
 121 (Konietschke, 2012).

122 We normalized the Pi_{ABS} (arithmetic mean of 3 measurements taken from each sample)
 123 by dividing the mean Pi_{ABS} values of each sample through the mean Pi_{ABS} values measured
 124 from the control sample of the same individual. Values >1.5 times the inter-quartile range
 125 in box plots for each combination of stress temperature, population, and time point, were
 126 removed from the dataset if the Grubbs’ test (R package ‘outlier’ (Komsta, 2011)) identified
 127 them as significant outliers (see S1 in the supplementary material for outlier values that
 128 were not considered in the data analysis).

129 We tested for significant differences in normalized photosynthetic performance between
 130 populations and time points using a nonparametric analysis of repeated-measures (the same
 131 individuals were measured over time) with the “fl.lf.f1” function of the software package

132 'nparLD' (Noguchi et al., 2012) in the statistical program R 3.0.2 (R Development Core
133 Team, 2013). In case of significant time point effects (see Table S2 in the supplementary
134 material), we tested if the average normalized Pi_{Abs} values at the three time points (15
135 min heat stress, 60 min heat stress and 24 h recovery) were significantly different from the
136 controls by calculating for each population 95% bootstrap confidence intervals in R 3.0.2
137 (R Development Core Team, 2013). We regarded the normalized performances significantly
138 different from the controls if they did not include the value 0. In case of a significant
139 population or interaction effect (see Table S2 in the supplementary material), we calculated
140 Tukey contrast effects of normalized Pi_{Abs} values between the four populations (Norway,
141 Denmark, Brittany, and Spain) for each time point (15 min heat stress, 60 min heat stress and
142 24 h recovery) using the function "nparcomp" with the R package 'nparcomp' (Konietschke,
143 2012).

144 3.3. Heat shock protein gene expression

145 3.3.1. RNA extraction and cDNA synthesis

146 Controls and stressed *Fucus* samples were placed in liquid nitrogen immediately after
147 fluorescence measurements and stored at -80 °C before lyophilization for a maximum of 3
148 weeks. RNA was extracted from the lyophilized samples of the 28 °C and 32 °C heat stress
149 experiments (at which we found population-specific differences in photosynthetic perfor-
150 mance) as described in Pearson et al. (2006). Samples were purified with the ZR-96 RNA
151 Clean & Concentrator kit (Zymo Research, Irvine, USA) and potential PCR inhibitors were
152 removed with the OneStep-96™ PCR Inhibitor Removal Kit (Zymo Research). RNA con-
153 centrations were quantified with the Qubit RNA Assay kit (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK)
154 using a Qubit 2.0 Fluorometer (Life Technologies) and RNA integrity was verified by agarose
155 gel electrophoresis. The extracted RNA was of sufficient quantity and quality for 8 individ-
156 uals/population (28 °C stress) and 4–6 individuals/population (32 °C stress), respectively.
157 Extracted RNA was reverse-transcribed to cDNA in 20 µl reactions with the QuantiTect
158 Reverse Transcription Kit (Quiagen, Hilden, Germany) using a Veriti 96-Well Fast Thermal
159 Cycler (Life Technologies). All 32 °C stress samples and the Danish 28 °C stress samples

160 were reverse transcribed together with a starting amount of RNA of 66.0 ng, while the Span-
161 ish, Brittany and Norwegian 28 °C stress samples were reverse transcribed with a starting
162 amount of RNA of 40.0 (5 samples with 22.6 ng due to their specifically low concentration).
163 We corrected for these quantitative differences in the data analysis (described below).

164 3.3.2. Real-time PCR

165 The qPCR reactions were performed in a StepOnePlus real-time PCR System (Life Tech-
166 nologies) using primers (Table 1) designed with the Primer Express 3.0 software ([http://primer-
167 express.software.informer.com/3.0/](http://primer-express.software.informer.com/3.0/)). The primers (Table 1) were designed from EST li-
168 braries of heat stressed *Fucus* (Pearson et al., 2010) and targeted unique *hsp* genes based on
169 the ESTs. However, as more than 10 *shsp*, three *hsp90*, and two *hsp70* genes were identified
170 in *Fucus*, we can not fully exclude the possibility that we have amplified more than one
171 member of the same gene family. The total reaction volume was 5 µl, containing 2.5 µl Fast
172 SYBR Green Master Mix (Life Technologies, Paisley, UK), 2 µl cDNA (1:20 dilution) and
173 0.5 µl of a solution containing forward and reverse primers at 5 µM each. All samples were
174 run in duplicate and equimolar pools of cDNA served as positive controls and minus reverse
175 transcriptase (-RT) controls, while no template controls were run to test for contamination.
176 The PCR amplification protocol consisted of 95 °C for 20 sec followed by 40 cycles of 95
177 °C for 3 sec and 62 °C for 30 sec (for all primers). To verify the amplification specificity,
178 we performed a melting curve analysis from 60 °C to 95 °C. The cDNA was successfully
179 quantified in 4–6 individuals per population and gene for the 32 °C stress samples and for
180 6–8 individuals per population and gene for the 28 °C stress samples.

181 Dilution series (1:5 dilution/step; from 1:1 to 1:625) of the cDNA pools (1:20 dilution)
182 were amplified in duplicate and served to calculate the PCR amplification efficiency E from
183 the regression slope of the threshold cycle (Ct) versus log₁₀ cDNA concentration after Pfaffl
184 et al. (2002). To normalize the expression quantities, based on the expression level recorded
185 for the two housekeeping genes from the same sample, we used the R package 'SLqPCR'
186 (Kohl, 2007) that implements the normalization method described in Vandesompele et al.
187 (2002).

188 3.3.3. Statistical analysis

189 To test for potential maternal or genetic effects on gene expression levels, we compared
190 relative normalized expression quantities between the control samples (acclimated to 9 °C
191 for ≥ 4 weeks) of each of the four populations using ANOVA on log-transformed values (due
192 to non-normality based on the Shapiro-Wilk normality test), followed by Tukey’s post-hoc
193 tests in R 3.0.2 (R Development Core Team, 2013). To test whether the acclimation period
194 had an effect on *hsp* expression patterns, we included ”acclimation period” as an additional
195 explanatory variable that discriminated the 28 °C stress control samples (control group
196 1, acclimated for 23 weeks to 9 °C) from the 32 °C stress control samples (control group
197 2, acclimated for 7 weeks to 9 °C). We calculated the fold-change of gene expression by
198 dividing the relative normalized expression quantities of each sample through the control
199 sample values of the same individual. Potential outliers were removed if log-transformed or
200 fold-change values were >1.5 times the inter-quartile range above the 3rd quartile or below
201 the 1st quartile (see S3 and S4 in the supplementary material for outlier values that were
202 not considered in the data analysis).

203 For each heat shock protein gene (*hsp70*, *hsp90*, and *shsp*) we tested for significant differ-
204 ences in fold-change expression between populations and time points using a nonparametric
205 analysis of repeated-measures (the same individuals were measured over time) with the
206 “fl.lf1” function of the R package ‘nparLD’ (Noguchi et al., 2012). In case of a significant
207 population or interaction effect (see S5 in the supplementary material), we calculated Tukey
208 contrast effects of fold change expressions between the four populations (Norway, Denmark,
209 Brittany, and Spain) for each time point (15 min heat stress, 60 min heat stress and 24
210 h recovery) using the function “nparcomp” with the R package ‘nparcomp’ (Konietschke,
211 2012).

212 3.4. Relation between photosynthetic performance and *hsp* gene expression

213 We tested for correlations between the individual change in the photosynthetic per-
214 formance (relative normalized Pi_{Abs} values) and relative normalized *hsp* gene expression
215 quantities (first explanatory variable) after 15 min and 60 min heat stress (28 °C and 32 °C)

216 with ANCOVAs using linear models in R 3.0.2 (R Development Core Team, 2013). Models
217 were performed separately for the three *hsp* genes (*hsp70*, *hsp90* and *shsp*) and the factor
218 "population" was included as second explanatory variable to test for population-specific
219 effects.

220 3.5. Thermal regime

221 To characterize the thermal regime at the four sampling sites (Fig. 1) under present-day
222 conditions and over the next two centuries, we extracted annual means, minima and maxima
223 of monthly averaged sea surface temperature (SST) and of monthly averaged surface air tem-
224 perature (SAT) from GIS rasters of the Bio-ORACLE database ([http:// www.oracle.ugent.be/ in-](http://www.oracle.ugent.be/index.html)
225 [dex.html](http://www.oracle.ugent.be/index.html)) using the R package 'raster' (Hijmans and van Etten, 2011). Rasters of present-
226 day SST grids are described in Tyberghein et al. (2012), rasters of present-day SAT grids
227 and predicted SAT and SST (based on the A1B IPCC climate change scenario (720ppm
228 stabilization) and the UKMO-HadCM3 model (Gordon et al., 2000; Johns et al., 2003)) are
229 described in Jueterbock et al. (2013)). Although body temperatures of intertidal organisms
230 can differ broadly from low-tide air temperatures (Helmuth, 2009; Helmuth et al., 2006),
231 we believe that our estimations of average SST and SAT of the warmest month provided
232 rough proxies for the frequency of warm temperature extremes (higher averages = higher
233 frequency).

234 4. Results

235 4.1. Photosynthetic performance

236 Photosynthetic performance did not differ significantly between the populations under
237 control conditions (9 °C, see S6 in the supplementary material). Photosynthetic performance
238 decreased significantly ($p \leq 0.05$) at stress temperatures ≥ 24 °C (significant "Duration" effect
239 in S2) in all four populations after an exposure time of 60 min (Figure 2e). After an exposure
240 time of 15 min, the Norwegian population showed a significant Pi_{ABS} decrease only at ≥ 28
241 °C (Figure 2g), while the performance of all other populations decreased significantly at ≥ 24
242 °C (Figure 2d).

243 Only the Spanish population recovered from 32 °C stress after 24 h recovery at 9 °C,
244 indicated by an average Pi_{ABS} value that was not significantly different from control sam-
245 ple levels (Figure 2l). In contrast, the Norwegian, Danish and Brittany populations did
246 not recover from >28 °C stress and the performance of the Brittany population remained
247 significantly low after recovery from 24 °C stress exposure (Figure 2f).

248 Population-specific differences occurred at 20 °C, 24 °C and 36 °C, and interactions
249 between population and duration (time point) were significant at 24 °C, 32 °C, and 36 °C
250 (see S2). The Brittany population showed a significantly lower performance compared to
251 the Spanish population after 24 h at 20 °C (Figure 2c). The Spanish population had a
252 significant lower photosynthetic performance after 60 min at 24 °C compared to all other
253 populations (Figure 2e) and compared to the Norwegian population after 15 min and 60
254 min at and 24 h recovery from 36 °C stress (Figure 2m,n,o).

255 4.2. Heat shock protein expression

256 The interaction between population and acclimation time was significant for the ex-
257 pression levels of all three *hsp* genes (see S7 in the supplementary material). The Danish
258 population had significantly lower expression levels than any other population for *hsp70* and
259 *hsp90* and lower *shsp* expression levels than the Brittany and Spanish samples for control
260 group 1 (23 weeks of acclimation to 9 °C, Figure 3a,b)), but the pattern was not mirrored
261 in control group 2 (7 weeks of acclimation to 9 °C, Figure 3d,e). The Spanish popula-
262 tion showed significantly higher *hsp90* expression levels compared with the Norwegian and
263 Danish populations in control group 1 (Figure 3b) and with the Norwegian and Brittany
264 populations in control group 2 (Figure 3e). Furthermore, the Spanish population showed
265 significantly higher *shsp* expression levels compared with all three other populations in con-
266 trol group 1 (Figure 3c) and with the Norwegian and Brittany populations in control group
267 2 (Figure 3f). The relative expression quantities differed between control group 1 and 2 for
268 *hsp70* in the Norwegian and Brittany populations (Figure 3a,d) and for *hsp90* in all four
269 populations (Figure 3b,e).

270 All three *hsp* genes showed a significant upregulation under 28 °C, but only the *hsp90*

271 and *hsp* genes responded significantly to 32 °C (no significant “Duration” effect for *hsp70*
272 at 32 °C stress, see S5 in the supplementary material). No population-specific differences in
273 the upregulation of *hsp90* gene expression were apparent (S5, and Figure 4g-l). A significant
274 interaction between population and duration (time point) was found for the *hsp70* gene at 28
275 °C (see S5). The expression level of *hsp70* was significantly lower in the Spanish population
276 than in the Norwegian or Danish populations after 60 min at 28 °C (Figure 4b).

277 Maximum transcriptional up-regulation (fold change in gene expression) was considerably
278 higher for the *hsp* gene (max. 1000-fold change, Figure 4m-r) than for the *hsp70* gene
279 (max. 2-fold change, Figure 4a-f) and the *hsp90* gene (max. 4-fold change, Figure 4g-l).
280 Significant differences between populations were found for the *hsp* gene at 28 °C stress, but
281 not at 32 °C stress (see S5 in the supplementary material). In the 28 °C experiment, the
282 fold-change in *hsp* expression was significantly lower in the Spanish individuals than in the
283 other three populations (Norway, Denmark, Brittany) (Figure 4o). In contrast, the Danish
284 population responded to 28 °C stress with significantly higher fold-change in *hsp* expression
285 than samples from Norway and Spain after 15 min (Figure 4m) and with higher fold-change
286 than samples from any other population after 60 min and 24 h recovery (Figure 4n,o).

287 4.3. Relation between photosynthetic performance and *hsp* gene expression

288 The change in photosynthetic performance (ΔPi_{ABS}) was not significantly ($p \geq 0.05$)
289 correlated with relative normalized expression quantities for any of the three *hsp* genes. The
290 regression line slopes were insignificant at both 28 °C (15 min and 60 min: *hsp70* $p = 0.23$
291 and $p = 0.98$, *hsp90* $p = 0.94$ and $p = 0.58$, *hsp* $p = 0.84$ and $p = 0.75$), and 32 °C (15 min
292 and 60 min: *hsp70* $p = 0.54$ and $p = 0.82$, *hsp90* $p = 0.92$ and $p = 0.66$, *hsp* $p = 0.16$ and
293 $p = 0.98$).

294 4.4. Thermal regime

295 Under present-day conditions, the Danish and Spanish populations experience highest
296 maximum SST and SAT (Figure 5a). In contrast, within the next two centuries, SST and
297 SAT are predicted to reach highest maxima at the seaweed’s southern range of distribution

298 in Brittany and Spain within the next 200 yrs. For the Brittany and Spanish populations,
299 the average SST of the warmest month is predicted to rise nearly up to 24 °C, the minimum
300 temperature with a significant negative fitness effect (Figure 2e).

301 5. Discussion

302 5.1. *Hsp gene expression and loss of photosynthetic performance are not correlated*

303 Increased expression levels of our three focal *hsp* genes did not mitigate the loss of pho-
304 tosynthetic performance under heat stress, as the two stress indicators varied independently
305 from each other. One possible explanation for this lack of correlation is that the measured
306 *hsp* gene transcription levels themselves do not necessarily correlate with translation and
307 the presence of active, functional HSP proteins. Alternatively, the photosynthetic appara-
308 tus might be protected by other HSPs located in the stroma of the chloroplasts (cp-HSPs)
309 (e.g. Downs et al., 1998). For example, cp-sHSP directly protect the electron transport and
310 oxygen evolution of photosystem II (PS II) (Preczewski et al., 2000; Shakeel et al., 2012) and
311 its upregulation was significantly positively correlated with photosynthetic thermotolerance
312 of tomato (*Lycopersicon*) (Preczewski et al., 2000). This sHSP chaperone is also present in
313 the chloroplast of symbiotic dinoflagellates of the genus *Symbiodinium* (Downs et al., 2000),
314 but the role it plays in thermotolerance of brown seaweeds is poorly studied. In addition,
315 other cellular components than HSPs can be involved in warm temperature acclimation
316 (Collén et al., 2007). For example, detoxifying enzymes may protect PS II from damage
317 by reactive oxygen species (ROS) and alteration of cell membrane lipid composition can
318 secure functioning of photosynthesis under heat stress (Rowland et al., 2010). Thus, the
319 three HSPs examined in the present study are unlikely to play a major role in protecting
320 the photosynthetic apparatus of *F. serratus*. It appears that cellular *hsp* expression and
321 photosynthetic performance measure different cellular processes in *F. serratus* and can not
322 replace each other as heat stress indicators.

323 5.2. Population-specific heat-stress responses

324 5.2.1. Increased heat stress resilience in Spain

325 The Spanish population was more resilient to heat stress than the Norwegian, Danish and
326 Brittany populations (recovery from up to 32 °C stress, Figure 2l). Its HSR revealed high
327 constitutive gene expression (in *shsp* and partly *hsp90*, Figure 3b,c,e,f) but low inducible
328 *hsp* gene expression (in some cases for *hsp70* (Figure 4b) and mostly for *shsp* Figure 4o,r).
329 In combination, these *hsp* expression patterns indicate significant intrinsic differences (ge-
330 netically or through maternal effects) between the Spanish and the other populations and
331 suggest two alternative explanations for the population's increased heat stress resilience:
332 local thermal adaptation or chronic thermal stress.

333 Local adaptation of *F. serratus* to warm temperatures is favored by its low dispersal
334 potential and small-scale genetic differentiation (panmictic unit of ca. 2km) (Coyer et al.,
335 2003) and thus may account for its increased heat stress resilience in Spain. Ecotypic
336 differentiation in *HSP70* expression was for example found in *Drosophila melanogaster* that
337 occurs in thermally selected *hsp70* variants (Bettencourt et al., 2002) and in phosphoglucose
338 isomerase (PGI) genotypes of the leaf beetle *Chrysomela aeneicollis* (Dahlhoff et al., 2008).
339 Increased thermostability of other than HSP proteins could lower the required *hsp* expression
340 under heat stress (e.g. Barua et al., 2008), but this would not explain the high constitutive
341 *hsp90* and *shsp* expression levels of the Spanish population under control conditions (Figure
342 3b,c,e,f). Thus, an adaptive shift in HSP chaperone performance to warmer temperatures is
343 more likely to explain the reduced upregulation of *hsp* expression in the Spanish population
344 under heat stress.

345 Heat-hardening under chronic high thermal stress levels is an alternative explanation
346 for the constitutively high *hsp* expression of the Spanish population. Constitutively high
347 expression of ATP-dependent *hsp* genes (in our case *hsp90*, since *shsp* is ATP-independent)
348 involves metabolic costs at the expense of growth and reproduction (Feder and Hofmann,
349 1999; Sørensen and Loeschcke, 2007). Evidence that environmental stress can reduce growth
350 comes from a study on the intertidal mussel *Mytilus californianus* demonstrating slower
351 growth in the thermally stressful high intertidal (compared to the less stressful low inter-

352 tidal) (Hofmann, 2005) and from a study on the estuarine fish *Gillichthys mirabilis* where
353 genes involved in protein synthesis, cell growth and proliferation were repressed in response
354 to hypoxia (Gracey et al., 2001). Furthermore, repeated heat stress exposure reduced the
355 fecundity of *Drosophila melanogaster* (Krebs and Loeschcke, 1994). Accordingly, reduced
356 growth, reproductive capacity and physiological performance of Spanish southern edge pop-
357 ulations of *F. serratus* (Martínez et al., 2012; Viejo et al., 2011) might be explained by a
358 constitutive heat-stress response under chronic thermal stress.

359 Other than reducing fitness, warm-temperature acclimatization can inhibit responsive-
360 ness to further stress, as was found for heart function in porcelain crabs (genus *Petrolisthes*)
361 (Stillman, 2003) and for general stress resilience in the Australian kelp *Ecklonia radiata*
362 (Wernberg et al., 2010). The same inverse relationship between high *hsp* stock-levels (Fig-
363 ure 3b,c,e,f) and low inducible thermotolerance (lower *hsp70* and *shsp* up-regulation, Figure
364 4b,o,r) in our Spanish population was likewise found for the *hsp70* gene in the sea urchin
365 *Strongylocentrotus purpuratus* (Osovitz and Hofmann, 2005) and is supported by the so-
366 called "cellular-thermostat" model (reviewed in Tomanek, 2010). According to this model,
367 stress conditions normally initiate the transcription of inducible *hsps* by the heat shock tran-
368 scription factor 1 (HSF1), when the HSPs (e.g. HSP70 and HSP90) that hold HSF1 in an
369 inactive state are required for protein stabilization and repair, but constitutively high HSP
370 levels block this response since HSF1 is no longer released (Tomanek, 2010; Tomanek and
371 Somero, 2002). Moreover, significantly lower photosynthetic performance under heat stress
372 (compared to all other populations after 60 min at 24 °C, Figure 2e; and compared to the
373 Norwegian population under 36 °C Figure 2m,n,o) suggests that southern-edge populations
374 of *F. serratus* are less heat-stress resistant than populations from its mid-range (supported
375 by Pearson et al., 2009) and northern-edge of distribution. In conclusion, a constitutively
376 high *hsp* expression in Spanish populations of *F. serratus* could reduce their acclimatization
377 potential, thereby increasing sensitivity to further temperature increase.

378 Instead of indicating chronic thermal stress in northern Spain, the constitutively high
379 *shsp* and *hsp90* expression (Figure 3b,c,e,f) under acclimation conditions may have been
380 induced by cold temperature stress during acclimation (9 °C SST) and thus be an experi-

381 mental artifact. Average SST in northern Spain is not <12.5 °C during the coldest months
382 (although average SAT drops down to ca. 1 °C, Figure 5a) and 4 weeks at 9 °C might
383 have indeed been stressful. The control temperature of 9 °C was likely within the thermal
384 tolerance range of photosynthetic performance of the Spanish *F. serratus* population, as flu-
385 orescence measurements of the Spanish samples did not change significantly from 9 °C to 20
386 °C (Figure 2a,b,c). Also, *shsp* expression levels were likely unaffected by 9 °C, as they would
387 have decreased over acclimation time from control group 2 (7 weeks acclimation, Figure 3f)
388 to control group 1 (23 weeks acclimation, Figure 3c). This suggests that the constitutive *hsp*
389 upregulation is a chronic stress response of the Spanish population but whether the recorded
390 constitutive *hsp* up-regulation is indeed present in its natural habitat requires measurements
391 of *in situ* *hsp* expression.

392 5.3. Where climate change will become too extreme

393 The climate change scenarios predict that monthly mean temperatures will reach up to
394 24 °C in Brittany and Spain (Figure 5c), the minimum temperature that inhibited photosyn-
395 thetic performance in all four populations of *F. serratus* significantly (Figure 2e). Indeed,
396 an inhibitory effect was observed at 22 °C in northern Portugal (Martínez et al., 2012). It
397 is important to realize, however, that our results are based on the physiological responses
398 of adult individuals and juvenile stages are often more susceptible towards environmental
399 change (e.g. Arrontes, 1993; Brawley and Johnson, 1991). The species' physiological re-
400 sponse thus confirms the prediction that it will suffer thermal stress and be threatened with
401 extinction along the Spanish and Brittany Atlantic coasts in the next 200 years (Jueterbock
402 et al., 2013). Further exploration of the inter-population variability in heat stress toler-
403 ance within the thermal regions will require to investigating the response of more than one
404 population per thermal region.

405 Contrary to our expectations, the high and unique genetic diversity of the Brittany
406 *F. serratus* population (Coyer et al., 2003; Hoarau et al., 2007) displayed less heat stress
407 resilience compared to the other populations (Figure 2f,l). In contrast, Ehlers et al. (2008)
408 found that genetic diversity increases the heat stress resilience of the eelgrass *Zostera marina*,

409 with a positive effect on shoot density and on recovery of the entire associated ecosystem.
410 Our findings, however, are based on a sample size of only 6–10 per population, which may
411 be too small to capture the generally high genetic diversity of *F. serratus* in Brittany (Coyer
412 et al., 2003; Hoarau et al., 2007). Disappearance of *F. serratus* from its ancient refugium in
413 Brittany most likely will eradicate the species' center of genetic diversity and adaptability.

414 **6. Conclusions**

415 Photosynthetic performance and cytosolic *hsp* expression varied independently and are
416 likely to measure different physiological processes involved in the heat stress response of
417 a photosynthetic organism. Both stress indicators showed population-specific differences
418 in *F. serratus* with highest resilience in photosynthetic performance found in the species'
419 southern edge population in Spain. Increased thermal tolerance in the Spanish population is
420 likely not adaptive, however, but mediated through constitutively high *hsp* expression levels
421 and may incur an ecological cost of reduced fitness and acclimatization potential to further
422 environmental stressors at the species' southern distributional edge. In the next 200 years,
423 daily summer temperatures are likely to rise above the predicted average temperature of
424 the warmest month (≥ 24 °C) in the species' glacial refugia of Spain and Brittany. Given
425 the specifically low heat stress resilience in the latter refugium, the species might not have
426 sufficient acclimatization potential to mitigate the predicted extinction south of 45 °latitude
427 and could lose its center of genetic diversity and adaptability. Disappearance of this key
428 species from North-Atlantic rocky shores will precipitate major ecological changes in the
429 entire associated seaweed ecosystem.

430 **7. Acknowledgments**

431 We thank Randi Restad Sjøvik., Frans Almendingen, Tor Ove Dyping, Morten Krogstad,
432 Steinar Johnsen, Mark Powell, Dalia Dahle and Robert Eliassen (University of Nordland)
433 for help with the experimental setup. We are grateful to the two anonymous reviewers whose
434 suggestions and comments significantly improved the clarity of the article. This research

435 was funded by the Research Council of Norway (HAVKYST projects 196505, 203839, and
436 216484).

437 **8. Vitae**

438 AJ studies ecological genomics of stress in marine algae as part of his PhD thesis. GH
439 is an evolutionary biologist interested in the genomics of adaptation and hybridization in
440 marine organisms. IS and SK are working in Hoarau's lab on climate change impact and
441 genetic structure of marine organisms. JLO is a molecular ecologist interested in phylo-
442 geography and climate change effects on rapid adaptation in fucoids and seagrasses. JAC
443 is a molecular ecologist interested in the phylogeny, phylogeography, and stress response of
444 fucoids and seagrasses. JMOF is a molecular biologist using genomic tools to study muscle
445 growth and the innate immune system in fish.

446 **9. Role of the funding source**

447 The funding agency was not involved in the conduct of the research, preparation of the
448 article, study design, collection, analysis and interpretation of the data or in the decision to
449 submit the article for publication.

450 **References**

- 451 Arrontes, J., 1993. The nature of the distributional boundary of *Fucus serratus* on the north shore of Spain.
452 Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser. 93, 183–193.
- 453 Barriopedro, D., Fischer, E.M., Luterbacher, J., Trigo, R.M., García-Herrera, R., 2011. The hot summer of
454 2010: redrawing the temperature record map of Europe. Science 332, 220–224.
- 455 Barua, D., Heckathorn, S.A., Coleman, J.S., 2008. Variation in heat-shock proteins and photosynthetic
456 thermotolerance among natural populations of *Chenopodium album* L. from contrasting thermal environ-
457 ments: Implications for plant responses to global warming. J. Integr. Plant Biol. 50, 1440–1451. URL:
458 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1744-7909.2008.00756.x>, doi:10.1111/j.1744-7909.2008.00756.x.
- 459 Berry, J., Bjorkman, O., 1980. Photosynthetic response and adaptation to temperature in higher plants.
460 Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 31, 491–543. doi:10.1146/annurev. pp. 31. 060180. 002423.

461 Bettencourt, B.R., Kim, I., Hoffmann, A.A., Feder, M.E., 2002. Response to natural and
462 laboratory selection at the *Drosophila* hsp70 genes. *Evolution* 56, 1796–1801. URL:
463 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb00193.x>, doi:10.1111/j.0014-3820.2002.tb00193.x.
464 Bijlsma, R., Loeschcke, V., 2012. Genetic erosion impedes adaptive responses to stressful environments. *Evol.*
465 *Appl.* 5, 117–129. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00214.x>, doi:10.
466 1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00214.x.
467 Brawley, S., Johnson, L., 1991. Survival of furoid embryos in the intertidal zone depends upon developmental
468 stage and habitat. *J. Phycol.* 27, 179–186.
469 Brawley, S.H., Coyer, J.A., Blakeslee, A.M.H., Hoarau, G., Johnson, L.E., Byers, J.E., Stam, W.T., Olsen,
470 J.L., 2009. Historical invasions of the intertidal zone of Atlantic North America associated with distinctive
471 patterns of trade and emigration. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 106, 8239–8244. URL: [http://www.pnas.](http://www.pnas.org/content/106/20/8239.abstract)
472 [org/content/106/20/8239.abstract](http://www.pnas.org/content/106/20/8239.abstract).
473 Bussotti, F., Desotgiu, R., Pollastrini, M., Cascio, C., 2010. The jip test: a tool to screen the capacity
474 of plant adaptation to climate change. *Scand. J. Forest Res.* 25, 43–50. doi:10.1080/02827581.2010.
475 485777.
476 Collén, J., Guisle-Marsollier, I., Léger, J.J., Boyen, C., 2007. Response of the transcriptome of the inter-
477 tidal red seaweed *Chondrus crispus* to controlled and natural stresses. *New Phytol.* 176, 45–55. URL:
478 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02152.x>, doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02152.x.
479 Coyer, J.A., Peters, A.F., Stam, W.T., Olsen, J.L., 2003. Post-ice age recolonization and differentiation of
480 *Fucus serratus* L. (Phaeophyceae, Fucaceae) populations in Northern Europe. *Mol. Ecol.* 12, 1817–1829.
481 Dahlhoff, E., Fearnley, S., Bruce, D., Gibbs, A., Stoneking, R., McMillan, D., Deiner, K., Smiley, J.,
482 Rank, N., 2008. Effects of temperature on physiology and reproductive success of a montane leaf beetle:
483 implications for persistence of native populations enduring climate change. *Physiol. Biochem. Zool.* 81,
484 718–732.
485 Dayton, P., 1972. *Proceedings of the Colloquium on Conservation Problems*. Allen Press, Lawrence, Kansas.
486 chapter Towards an understanding of community resilience and the potential effects of enrichment to the
487 benthos at McMurdo Sound, Antarctica. pp. 81–96.
488 Downs, C., Heckathorn, S., Bryan, J., Coleman, J., 1998. The methionine-rich low-molecular-weight chloro-
489 plast heat-shock protein: evolutionary conservation and accumulation in relation to thermotolerance.
490 *Am. J. Bot.* 85, 175. URL: <http://www.amjbot.org/content/85/2/175.abstract>.
491 Downs, C.A., Mueller, E., Phillips, S., Fauth, J.E., Woodley, C.M., 2000. A molecular biomarker system
492 for assessing the health of coral (*Montastraea faveolata*) during heat stress. *Mar. Biotechnol.* 2, 533–544.
493 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s101260000038>, doi:10.1007/s101260000038.
494 Easterling, D.R., Meehl, G.A., Parmesan, C., Changnon, S.A., Karl, T.R., Mearns, L.O., 2000. Climate

495 extremes: observations, modeling, and impacts. *Science* 289, 2068–2074.

496 Ehlers, A., Worm, B., Reusch, T.B.H., 2008. Importance of genetic diversity in eelgrass *Zostera marina* for
497 its resilience to global warming. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 355, 1–7.

498 Feder, M.E., Hofmann, G.E., 1999. Heat-shock proteins, molecular chaperones, and the stress response:
499 evolutionary and ecological physiology. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* 61, 243–282.

500 Fredriksen, S., Christie, H., Saethre, B.A., 2005. Species richness in macroalgae and macrofauna assemblages
501 on *Fucus serratus* L. (Phaeophyceae) and *Zostera marina* L. (Angiospermae) in Skagerrak, Norway. *Mar.*
502 *Biol. Res.* 1, 2–19. doi:10.1080/17451000510018953.

503 Gordon, C., Cooper, C., Senior, C.A., Banks, H., Gregory, J.M., Johns, T.C., Mitchell, J.F.B., Wood, R.A.,
504 2000. The simulation of sst, sea ice extents and ocean heat transports in a version of the Hadley Centre
505 coupled model without flux adjustments. *Clim. Dynam.* 16, 147–168.

506 Gracey, A.Y., Troll, J.V., Somero, G.N., 2001. Hypoxia-induced gene expression profiling
507 in the euryoxic fish *Gillichthys mirabilis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 98, 1993–1998. URL:
508 <http://www.pnas.org/content/98/4/1993.abstract>, doi:10.1073/pnas.98.4.1993.

509 Hampe, A., Petit, R.J., 2005. Conserving biodiversity under climate change: the rear edge matters. *Ecol.*
510 *Lett.* 8, 461–467. doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2005.00739.x.

511 Helmuth, B., 2009. From cells to coastlines: how can we use physiology to forecast the impacts of climate
512 change? *J. Exp. Biol.* 212, 753–760.

513 Helmuth, B., Broitman, B.R., Blanchette, C.A., Gilman, S., Halpin, P., Harley, C.D.G., O'Donnell, M.J.,
514 Hofmann, G.E., Menge, B., Strickland, D., 2006. Mosaic patterns of thermal stress in the rocky intertidal
515 zone: implications for climate change. *Ecol. Monogr.* 76, 461–479.

516 Hickling, R., Roy, D.B., Hill, J.K., Fox, R., Thomas, C.D., 2006. The distributions of a wide range of
517 taxonomic groups are expanding polewards. *Glob. Change Biol.* 12, 450–455.

518 Hijmans, R.J., van Etten, J., 2011. Package 'raster': Geographic analysis and modeling with raster data. 1.
519 7–29 ed.

520 Hoarau, G., Coyer, J.A., Veldsink, J.H., Stam, W.T., Olsen, J.L., 2007. Glacial refugia and recolonization
521 pathways in the brown seaweed *Fucus serratus*. *Mol. Ecol.* 16, 3606–3616.

522 Hofmann, G.E., 2005. Patterns of hsp gene expression in ectothermic marine organisms on small to large
523 biogeographic scales. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 45, 247.

524 Johns, T.C., Gregory, J.M., Ingram, W.J., Johnson, C.E., Jones, A., Lowe, J.A., Mitchell, J.F.B., Roberts,
525 D.L., Sexton, D.M.H., Stevenson, D.S., et al., 2003. Anthropogenic climate change for 1860 to 2100
526 simulated with the hadcm3 model under updated emissions scenarios. *Clim. Dynam.* 20, 583–612.

527 Jueterbock, A., Tyberghein, L., Verbruggen, H., Coyer, J.A., Olsen, J.L., Hoarau, G., 2013. Climate change
528 impact on seaweed meadow distribution in the North Atlantic rocky intertidal. *Ecol. Evol.* 3, 1356–1373.

529 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ece3.541>, doi:10.1002/ece3.541.

530 Kohl, M., 2007. SLqPCR: Functions for analysis of real-time quantitative PCR data at SIRS-Lab GmbH.
531 SIRS-Lab GmbH. Jena. URL: www.sirs-lab.com. r package.

532 Komsta, L., 2011. outliers: Tests for outliers. URL: <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=outliers>.
533 r package version 0.14.

534 Konietschke, F., 2012. nparcomp: Perform multiple comparisons and compute simul-
535 taneous confidence intervals for the nonparametric relative contrast effects. URL:
536 <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=nparcomp>. r package version 2.0.

537 Krebs, R.A., Loeschke, V., 1994. Costs and benefits of activation of the heat-shock response in *Drosophila*
538 *melanogaster*. *Funct. Ecol.* 8, 730–737. URL: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2390232>.

539 Lüning, K., Yarish, C., Kirkman, H., 1990. Seaweeds: their environment, biogeography, and ecophysiology.
540 John Wiley, New York.

541 Martínez, B., Arenas, F., Rubal, M., Burgués, S., Esteban, R., García-Plazaola, I., Figueroa, F., Pereira,
542 R., Saldaña, L., Sousa-Pinto, I., et al., 2012. Physical factors driving intertidal macroalgae distribution:
543 physiological stress of a dominant furoid at its southern limit. *Oecologia* 170, 341–353.

544 Maxwell, K., Johnson, G.N., 2000. Chlorophyll fluorescence - a practical guide. *J. Exp. Bot.* 51, 659–668.
545 doi:10.1093/jexbot/51.345.659.

546 Meehl, G.A., Stocker, T.F., Collins, W.D., Friedlingstein, P., Gaye, A.T., Gregory, J.M., Kitoh, A., Knutti,
547 R., Murphy, J.M., Noda, A., Raper, S.C.B., Watterson, I.G., Weaver, A.J., Zhao, Z.C., 2007. Global
548 climate projections, in: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor,
549 M., Miller, H.L. (Eds.), *Climate Change 2007: the physical science basis: contribution of working group I*
550 *to the fourth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change*, Cambridge University
551 Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA. pp. 749–846.

552 Müller, R., Laepple, T., Bartsch, I., Wiencke, C., et al., 2009. Impact of oceanic warming on the distribution
553 of seaweeds in polar and cold-temperate waters. *Bot. Mar.* 52, 617–638.

554 Noguchi, K., Gel, Y.R., Brunner, E., Konietschke, F., 2012. nparld: An r software package for the nonpara-
555 metric analysis of longitudinal data in factorial experiments. *J. Stat. Softw.* 50, 1–23.

556 Osovitz, C.J., Hofmann, G.E., 2005. Thermal history-dependent expression of the *hsp70* gene in purple sea
557 urchins: biogeographic patterns and the effect of temperature acclimation. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* 327,
558 134–143.

559 Pearson, G., Lago-Leston, A., Valente, M., Serrão, E., 2006. Simple and rapid RNA extraction from freeze-
560 dried tissue of brown algae and seagrasses. *Eur. J. Phycol.* 41, 97–104.

561 Pearson, G.A., Hoarau, G., Lago-Leston, A., Coyer, J.A., Kube, M., Reinhardt, R., Henckel, K., Serrao,
562 E.T.A., Corre, E., Olsen, J.L., 2010. An expressed sequence tag analysis of the intertidal brown sea-

563 weeds *Fucus serratus* (L.) and *F. vesiculosus* (L.) (heterokontophyta, phaeophyceae) in response to abiotic
564 stressors. *Mar. Biotechnol.* 12, 195–213.

565 Pearson, G.A., Lago-Leston, A., Mota, C., 2009. Frayed at the edges: selective pressure and adaptive
566 response to abiotic stressors are mismatched in low diversity edge populations. *J. Ecol.* 97, 450–462.

567 Pfaffl, M.W., Horgan, G.W., Dempfle, L., 2002. Relative expression software tool (REST(C)) for group-wise
568 comparison and statistical analysis of relative expression results in real-time PCR. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 30,
569 e36.

570 Preczewski, P., Heckathorn, S., Downs, C., Coleman, J., 2000. Photosynthetic thermotol-
571 erance is quantitatively and positively correlated with production of specific heat-shock pro-
572 teins among nine genotypes of *Lycopersicon* (tomato). *Photosynthetica* 38, 127–134. URL:
573 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1026760311255>, doi:10.1023/A:1026760311255.

574 R Development Core Team, 2013. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation
575 for Statistical Computing. Vienna, Austria. URL: <http://www.R-project.org/>. ISBN 3-900051-07-0.

576 Rowland, J.G., Pang, X., Suzuki, I., Murata, N., Simon, W.J., Slabas, A.R., 2010. Identification of compo-
577 nents associated with thermal acclimation of photosystem II in *Synechocystis* sp. pcc6803. *PLoS ONE* 5,
578 e10511. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0010511>.

579 Schär, C., Vidale, P.L., Lüthi, D., Frei, C., Häberli, C., Liniger, M.A., Appenzeller, C., 2004. The role of
580 increasing temperature variability in european summer heatwaves. *Nature* 427, 332–336.

581 Shakeel, S.N., Ul Haq, N., Heckathorn, S., Luthe, D.S., 2012. Analysis of gene sequences indicates that
582 quantity not quality of chloroplast small hsp improves thermotolerance in C4 and CAM plants. *Plant*
583 *Cell Rep.* 31, 1943–1957. doi:10.1007/s00299-012-1307-z.

584 Somero, G.N., 2010. The physiology of climate change: how potentials for acclimatization and genetic
585 adaptation will determine 'winners' and 'losers'. *J. Exp. Biol.* 213, 912–920.

586 Sørensen, J.G., Loeschke, V., 2007. Studying stress responses in the post-genomic era: its ecological and
587 evolutionary role. *J. Bioscience* 32, 447–456.

588 Stefanov, D., Petkova, V., Denev, I.D., 2011. Screening for heat tolerance in common bean (*Phaseolus vul-*
589 *garis* L.) lines and cultivars using JIP-test. *Sci. Hort.* 128, 1–6. URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/B6TC3-51XFXVW-1/2/7ece0332d57649ff9da17ce8911d969e>, doi:DOI: 10.
590 1016/j.scienta.2010.12.003.

591

592 Stillman, J.H., 2003. Acclimation capacity underlies susceptibility to climate change. *Science* 301, 65. URL:
593 <http://www.sciencemag.org/content/301/5629/65.short>, doi:10.1126/science.1083073.

594 Strasser, R., Srivastava, A., Tsimilli-Michael, M., et al., 2000. Probing photosynthesis: Mechanisms, reg-
595 ulation and adaptation. Taylor and Francis, London. chapter The fluorescence transient as a tool to
596 characterize and screen photosynthetic samples. pp. 445–483.

597 Tomanek, L., 2010. Variation in the heat shock response and its implication for predicting the effect of
598 global climate change on species' biogeographical distribution ranges and metabolic costs. *J. Exp. Biol.*
599 213, 971–979. doi:10.1242/jeb.038034.

600 Tomanek, L., Somero, G., 2002. Interspecific-and acclimation-induced variation in levels of heat-shock
601 proteins 70 (*hsp70*) and 90 (*hsp90*) and heat-shock transcription factor-1 (HSF1) in congeneric marine
602 snails (genus *Tegula*): implications for regulation of *hsp* gene expression. *J. Exp. Biol.* 205, 677–685.

603 Tyberghein, L., Verbruggen, H., Pauly, K., Troupin, C., Mineur, F., De Clerck, O., 2012. Bio-oracle: a
604 global environmental dataset for marine species distribution modelling. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 21, 272–
605 281. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00656.x>, doi:10.1111/j.1466-
606 8238.2011.00656.x.

607 Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A., Speleman, F., 2002.
608 Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal
609 control genes. *Genome Biol.* 3, research0034–research0034.11.

610 Viejo, R.M., Martínez, B., Arrontes, J., Astudillo, C., Hernández, L., 2011. Reproductive patterns in
611 central and marginal populations of a large brown seaweed: drastic changes at the southern range limit.
612 *Ecography* 34, 75–84. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0587.2010.06365.x>, doi:10.
613 1111/j.1600-0587.2010.06365.x.

614 Walther, G.R., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee, T.J.C., Fromentin, J.M., Hoegh-
615 Guldberg, O., Bairlein, F., 2002. Ecological responses to recent climate change. *Nature* 416, 389–395.
616 URL: <http://www.nature.com/nature/journal/v416/n6879/full/416389a.html>.

617 Wernberg, T., S Thomsen, M., Tuya, F., Kendrick, G., Staehr, P., D Toohy, B., 2010. Decreasing
618 resilience of kelp beds along a latitudinal temperature gradient: potential implications for a warmer
619 future. *Ecol. Lett.* 13, 685–694.

620 Živčák, M., Brestič, M., Olšovská, K., Slamka, P., 2008. Performance index as a sensitive indicator of water
621 stress in *Triticum aestivum* L. *Plant Soil Environ.* 54, 133–139.

622 10. Figure captions

Figure 1: Sampling sites where >30 individuals/site were collected in May/June 2011. See supplementary material S8 for precisely mapped locations

Figure 2: Photosynthetic performance under heat stress. Relative change in Pi_{ABS} levels (compared to 9 °C) with bars of 1 standard error, measured from ≥ 5 *F. serratus* individuals/population during (15 min and 60 min) and after (24 h recovery) exposure to heat stress (20 °C, 24 °C, 28 °C, 32 °C and 36 °C) from each of four populations (Norway, Denmark, Brittany and Spain). A significant difference to the control (zero change in Pi_{ABS}) is indicated by: '*': $p \leq 0.05$. Bars that do not share the same lower case letters indicate significantly different expression levels ($p \leq 0.05$) between the populations at a given time point and temperature.

Figure 3: Relative normalized *hsp* gene expression compared between two control groups of *F. serratus* individuals from four populations (Norway, Denmark, Brittany and Spain) before heat stress exposure; **(a)** control group 1 (23 weeks acclimation at 4 °C, n=6–8) and **(b)** control group 2 (7 weeks acclimation at 4 °C, n=4–6). The control groups did not share the same individuals. The expression quantities, with error bars of 1 standard error, were normalized to the expression levels of two housekeeping genes (*actin* and *eef1*). Bars that do not share the same lower case letters indicate significantly different expression levels ($p \leq 0.05$). Lower case letters are independent between the three *hsp* genes (*hsp70*, *hsp90* and *shsp*). Note the log-scale of the y-axis.

Figure 4: Fold-change in transcriptomic *hsp70*, *hsp90*, and *shsp* gene expression with bars of 1 standard error at 28 °C (n=6–10) and 32 °C (n=4–6) stress (15 min exposure, 60 min exposure and 24 hrs recovery). Changes in gene expression were compared pairwise between *F. serratus* individuals from four populations (Norway, Denmark, Brittany and Spain) within each subplot. Bars that do not share the same lower case letters indicate significant differences. Note the log-scale of the y-axis for *shsp*.

Figure 5: Sea surface temperature (SST) and surface air temperature (SAT) conditions under present day conditions (2000) and predicted for 2100 and 2200 at the four sampling sites of this study (Norway, Denmark, Brittany and Spain; see Figure 1). Yearly averages of monthly mean temperatures are represented by points (SST) or diamonds (SAT). The temperature range (minimum to maximum of monthly means) is represented by continuous (SST) and dashed (SAT) horizontal lines. The short dashed vertical line indicates the minimum temperature (24 °C) at which photosynthetic performance was significantly reduced in all four populations (Figure 2)

623 **11. Tables**

Table 1: Primers used for quantitative real-time PCR. The PCR amplification efficiency and the Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient (r^2) of the threshold cycle (Ct) versus \log_{10} cDNA concentration are shown for the two batches of samples that were reverse transcribed together: 1) Spanish, Brittany and Norwegian 28 °C stress samples 2) All 32 °C and Danish 28 °C stress samples. Key: A.n., accession number; *actb*, Beta-actin gene; bp, length of amplicon in basepairs *eef-1*, Eukaryotic elongation factor gene; F, forward; *hsp70*, Heat shock protein 70 gene; *hsp90*, Heat shock protein 90 gene; R, reverse; *shsp*, small heat shock protein 4 gene (*hsp20*).

A.n.	Gene	Primer sequence 5'-3'	bp	Efficiency	r^2
U11697.1	<i>actb</i>	F: AGCGTGGTTACTCCTTCA R: CCGTCTTCATCTCCTGGT	105	1.91/2.00	0.988/0.997
GH700727.1	<i>eef-1</i>	F: CCGCTACAAGGAGATCAAGGA R: AGATGGGCACGAAGGGAAT	86	1.99/2.13	0.997/0.997
EU780018.1	<i>shsp</i>	F: GACTTCCACGAGACCAACA R: CACCTTGATGTCCTCCTTCTT	75	1.94/2.07	0.999/0.998
EU780017.1	<i>hsp70</i>	F: GGGTGCTTATCCAGGTGTA R: CCGTCCAGGTTGAACTTG	79	1.93/2.04	0.987/0.998
EU780016.1	<i>hsp90</i>	F: GGTCGCATTACAGGCTTATC R: CGTCCTCTCCGTCGTCTC	76	2.02/1.93	0.987/1.000

624 **12. Supplementary material - captions**

S1: Outliers in photosynthetic performance under heat stress. Relative change of Pi_{Abs} (compared to 9 °C) with bars of 1 standard error, measured from ≥ 5 *F. serratus* individuals/population during (15 min and 60 min) and after (24 h recovery) exposure to heat stress (20 °C, 24 °C, 28 °C, 32 °C and 36 °C) from each of four populations (Norway, Denmark, Brittany and Spain). Outlier values that were not considered in the data analysis are shown as red dots (not shown: 4.92 change in Pi_{Abs} for Denmark, 24 h recovery from 32 °C stress).

S2: Test results for population and time point effects on photosynthetic performance (normalized Pi_{ABS}). For each stress temperature (20 °C, 24 °C, 28 °C, 32 °C, and 36 °C) test statistics, numerator degrees of freedom (Df) for the central F distribution and corresponding p -values of the test are shown for the two factors Population (Norway, Denmark, Brittany, and Spain) and Duration (0 min or control, 15 min stress, 60 min stress, and 24 h recovery), and the interaction between them (Population:Duration). Significant effects ($p \leq 0.05$) are indicated with “*”.

S3: Outliers in relative normalized *hsp* gene expression. Relative normalized *hsp* gene expression compared between two control groups of *F. serratus* individuals from four populations (Norway, Denmark, Brittany and Spain) before heat stress exposure; **(a)** control group 1 (23 weeks acclimation at 4 °C, n=6–8) and **(b)** control group 2 (7 weeks acclimation at 4 °C, n=4–6). The expression quantities, with error bars of 1 standard error, were normalized to the expression levels of two housekeeping genes (*actin* and *eef1*). Outlier values that were not considered in the data analysis are shown as red dots. Note the log-scale of the y-axis.

S4: Outliers in fold-change of transcriptomic gene expression. Fold-change in transcriptomic *hsp70*, *hsp90*, and *shsp* gene expression with bars of 1 standard error at 28 °C (n=6–10) and 32 °C (n=4–6) stress (15 min exposure, 60 min exposure and 24 hrs recovery). Outlier values that were not considered in the data analysis are shown as red dots.

S5: Test results for population and time point effects on the fold change in relative normalized gene expression. For each heat shock protein gene (*hsp70*, *hsp90*, and *shsp*) and stress temperature (20 °C, 24 °C, 28 °C, 32 °C, and 36 °C) test statistics, numerator degrees of freedom (Df) for the central F distribution and corresponding p -values of the test are shown for the two factors Population (Norway, Denmark, Brittany, and Spain) and Duration (0 min or control, 15 min stress, 60 min stress, and 24 h recovery), and the interaction between them (Population:Duration). Significant effects ($p \leq 0.05$) are indicated with “*”.

S6: Tests for population differences in photosynthetic performance (Pi_{ABS} values) under control conditions (9 °C) before heat stress exposure (20 °C, 24 °C, 28 °C, 32 °C, and 36 °C). For each pairwise comparison the table shows Tukey contrast effects (Estimator) between populations (Norway, Denmark, Brittany, and Spain) with lower and upper 95% confidence interval limits, the test statistics and p -values. None of the contrasts were significant ($p \leq 0.05$).

S7: ANOVA tables for population and acclimation-time effects on gene expression (normalized expression quantity) of 3 heat shock protein *hsp* genes (*hsp70*, *hsp90*, and *shsp*). For each *hsp* gene, the table shows degrees of freedom (Df), sum of squares (Sum Sq), mean squares (Mean Sq), *F*-values and *p*-values for the two factors Population (Norway, Denmark, Brittany, and Spain) and acclimation time (7 weeks and 23 weeks of acclimation), and the interaction between them (Population:Acclimation). Significant effects ($p \leq 0.05$) are indicated with “*”.

S8: Precise locations where >30 individuals/site were collected in May/June 2011.