

Parthenogenesis in *Darevskia* lizards: A rare outcome of common hybridization, not a common outcome of rare hybridization

Susana Freitas,^{1,2}  Anja Marie Westram,^{3,4} Tanja Schwander,¹ Marine Arakelyan,⁵ Çetin Ilgaz,^{6,7} Yusuf Kumlutas,^{6,7} David James Harris,⁸ Miguel A. Carretero,^{8,9} and Roger K. Butlin^{10,11} 

¹Department of Ecology and Evolution, University of Lausanne, Lausanne CH-1015, Switzerland

²E-mail: freitas.sn@gmail.com

³IST Austria, Klosterneuburg 3400, Austria

⁴Faculty of Biosciences and Aquaculture, Nord University, Bodø N-8049, Norway

⁵Department of Biology, Yerevan State University, Yerevan 0025, Armenia

⁶Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, Dokuz Eylül University, İzmir 35400, Turkey

⁷Fauna and Flora Research Centre, Dokuz Eylül University, İzmir 35610, Turkey

⁸CIBIO Research Centre in Biodiversity and Genetic Resources, InBIO, Universidade do PortoCampus de Vairão, Vairão 4485-661, Portugal

⁹Departamento de Biologia, Faculdade de Ciências, Universidade do Porto, Porto 4169-007, Portugal

¹⁰Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield S10 2TN, United Kingdom

¹¹Department of Marine Sciences, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg SE-40530, Sweden

Hybridization is a common evolutionary process with multiple possible outcomes. In vertebrates, interspecific hybridization has repeatedly generated parthenogenetic hybrid species. However, it is unknown whether the generation of parthenogenetic hybrids is a rare outcome of frequent hybridization between sexual species within a genus or the typical outcome of rare hybridization events. *Darevskia* is a genus of rock lizards with both hybrid parthenogenetic and sexual species. Using capture sequencing, we estimate phylogenetic relationships and gene flow among the sexual species, to determine how introgressive hybridization relates to the origins of parthenogenetic hybrids. We find evidence for widespread hybridization with gene flow, both between recently diverged species and deep branches. Surprisingly, we find no signal of gene flow between parental species of the parthenogenetic hybrids, suggesting that the parental pairs were either reproductively or geographically isolated early in their divergence. The generation of parthenogenetic hybrids in *Darevskia* is, then, a rare outcome of the total occurrence of hybridization within the genus, but the typical outcome when specific species pairs hybridize. Our results question the conventional view that parthenogenetic lineages are generated by hybridization in a window of divergence. Instead, they suggest that some lineages possess specific properties that underpin successful parthenogenetic reproduction.

KEY WORDS: Asexuality, hybridization, lacertids, parthenogenesis, phylogeny, reptiles.

The role attributed to hybridization in evolution has changed markedly in recent decades. When the biological species concept was first developed (Dobzhansky 1940; Mayr 1942), hybridization between different populations was considered mostly ineffective. Hybrid zones were (and still are, in some cases) expected

to be transient and interpreted as evidence of reproductive barriers between the diverging groups (Soltis 2013; Abbott et al. 2016). However, with the development of high-throughput sequencing techniques, extensive evidence of ongoing hybridization within and between diverging clades has accumulated

(Abbott et al. 2016). These techniques enabled the investigation of the role of hybridization in eroding barriers to gene exchange during speciation (Abbott et al. 2013), in accelerating speciation by adaptive introgression (Pereira et al. 2014; Stankowski and Streisfeld 2015; Cronk and Yang 2016), and in initiating the formation of new species (Mavárez et al. 2006; Taylor and Larson 2019).

That interspecific hybridization can play a part in the origin of new sexual species has now been extensively documented (e.g., Mavárez et al. 2006; Pereira et al. 2014; Wang et al. 2017; Schumer et al. 2018; Cuevas et al. 2021). However, an alternative consequence of interspecific hybridization is the origin of asexual forms (Schultz 1973; Vrijenhoek 1989). These forms are able to escape the constraints of sex and, if parthenogenetic reproduction is successful, develop into new asexual “species” (sensu Barraclough 2010). In vertebrates, interspecific hybridization is the process responsible for the origin of all known parthenogenetic species (e.g., Murphy et al. 2000; Alves et al. 2001; Fujita and Moritz 2009; Freitas et al. 2016a; Brunet et al. 2019; Fujita et al. 2020). However, it is not known how the hybrid origin of parthenogenesis relates to the overall history of introgressive hybridization within a given clade. For example, does hybridization between two specific sexual species always generate parthenogenetic descendants, or can it result in introgression as well? Also, it is still unclear whether the origin of vertebrate parthenogenesis is induced by hybridization between species at a specific degree of divergence alone. In other words, whether all species pairs with a specific degree of divergence could generate parthenogenetic hybrid descendants (Balance Hypothesis: Wetherington et al. 1987; Moritz et al. 1989), or only specific phylogenetic clades can act as parental species (Phylogenetic Constraint Hypothesis: Darevsky 1967; Kupriyanova 1997, 1999; Avise 2008).

According to the Balance Hypothesis, parthenogenetic vertebrates arise by the hybridization of two sexual species divergent enough to disrupt meiosis in the hybrids, yet not so divergent as to seriously compromise hybrid viability or (parthenogenetic) fertility (Kearney et al. 2009). Under the Phylogenetic Constraint Hypothesis, asexual lineages arise only from the hybridization between specific sexual species (or clades) with genetic factors that allow them to interbreed and produce viable hybrids capable of reproducing parthenogenetically. When hybridization leads to the formation of fertile sexual hybrids, these hybrids can potentially vector gene flow between the parental species. In contrast, if when two species meet they can only produce parthenogenetic hybrids, then there is no possibility for gene flow among the parental pairs. Therefore, patterns of gene flow between the parental lineages over time can be used to clarify whether dis-

ance alone can explain the origin of parthenogenetic hybrids, or if other factors need to be taken into account.

Here we investigated the rock lizard genus *Darevskia*, a fascinating group with over 25 sexual and seven parthenogenetic species currently described (Arribas 1999). All seven parthenogenetic species have originated by interspecific hybridization between sexual species during the Pleistocene ice ages (Freitas et al. 2016a), but only four sexual species participated in these hybridization events (Darevsky 1967; Murphy et al. 2000). Of these, two always acted as the mother (*D. raddei* [Boettger 1892] and *D. mixta-1* [Mehely 1909]; Freitas et al. 2019), whereas the other two acted as the father (*D. portschinskii* [Kessler 1878] and *D. valentini* [Boettger 1892]), each pair producing more than one parthenogenetic hybrid. According to the currently available phylogeny (Murphy et al. 2000; Murtskhvaladze et al. 2020), the genus contains three main clades (Saxicola, Caucasic, and Rudis), the maternal species belonging to one clade (Caucasic) and the paternal species to another (Rudis). Besides the events that created the parthenogenetic hybrids, there is evidence for ongoing hybridization: between sexual species of the clade Rudis (Tarkhishvili et al. 2017), backcrosses between parthenogenetic species and their parental species that result in polyploids with decreased fertility (Danielyan et al. 2008; Freitas et al. 2019), and more broadly between sexual species with overlapping distributions (Darevsky 1967).

Specifically, in this study we aimed to address the following questions: (1) Is there gene flow among *Darevskia* sexual species, and if so, how widespread are the gene flow events? (2) If there is restriction of parthenogenesis to particular clades (or species), is this because hybridization is most common in those clades, or does hybridization occur throughout the genus with only specific species pairs being able to generate parthenogenetic hybrids? (3) Is the result of the hybridization between a given pair of sexual species only parthenogenetic hybrids, or did it also lead to gene flow between them? To answer these questions, we used targeted resequencing of transcriptome-derived markers to analyze over 600 loci in a phylogenetic framework. A species tree was built to determine the topology and estimate divergence times between species. Introgressive hybridization events were detected using discordance in tree topology, and formal tests for gene flow. We find widespread introgressive hybridization events, both in deep clades and between more recently diverged species. Surprisingly, we find no evidence for gene flow among the parental pairs of the parthenogenetic hybrids. As the gene flow pattern shows, there was widespread hybridization in the genus in the past, so we propose that the origin of the parthenogenetic hybrids is a rare outcome of the total occurrence of hybridization within the genus, but the typical outcome when specific species pairs hybridize.




Figure 1. Range distributions of the sexual *Darevskia* species analyzed here, after IUCN (2020). Given the high level of overlap between ranges, species were divided into different panels according to phylogenetic clade (see Results). Points correspond to the sample locations (GPS coordinates in Table S1).

Material and Methods

SPECIES SELECTION

We included 69 individuals belonging to 14 sexual species in this study (Fig. 1; Table S1). The six parthenogenetic species were not included in our main analyses because our aim was to quantify rates of gene flow between sexual species. However, we confirmed previous inferences of parentage of the six parthenogenetic species using data from 52 parthenogenetic individuals (File S1; Fig. S1; Table S2). All individuals were captured in the field, where they were photographed and had basic measurements recorded for species identification. The tail tip was removed from each individual (by applying pressure with our fingertips), and preserved in ethanol for DNA extraction. Two individuals of the closely related *Iranolacerta brandtii* (De Filippi, 1863) were used as an outgroup (Mendes et al. 2016; Garcia-Porta et al. 2019). Total genomic DNA was extracted from approximately 30 mg of the tail tip following standard high-salt protocols (Sambrook and Russell 2001) or the Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue kit.

CAPTURE SEQUENCE AND GENOTYPING

Probes for the sequence capture were designed from *Darevskia* transcriptomes (see Supporting Information for details). RNAseq libraries for the four samples were prepared in Edinburgh Genomics, Edinburgh, UK where they were then sequenced in a single lane, using an Illumina HiSeq 2000 machine (100 bp paired-end reads; insert size around 80 bp). The transcriptomes of two *Darevskia* species (*D. valentini* and *D. unisexualis* [Darevsky 1966]) were de novo assembled into contigs, which corresponded to putative genes. After eliminating contigs duplicated between transcriptomes, they were merged and treated as a single transcriptome reference. The contigs were then filtered and used as a reference for mapping the same RNA reads, subsequently calling SNPs and assessing genetic diversity within the assembled contigs. The most variable contigs (hereafter locus/loci), that is, the ones with most SNPs, were then selected for probe design. Probes were designed so that they were 120 bp in length, and tiled for a 3× coverage, so that each subsequent probe included the last 80 bp of the previous probe. A total of 10,000 probes

were developed, spanning 625 loci (corresponding to 575,561 bp in total). Library preparation and Illumina paired-end sequencing of the captured fragments was performed commercially at Rapid Genomics Inc (Florida 32601 USA), resulting in 100 bp reads. Given the sequencing method used and the good DNA quality of the samples, allelic dropout tests were not considered necessary (see Bose et al. 2018).

Capture sequenced reads were filtered with Cutadapt (Martin 2011) eliminating all reads shorter than 40 bp and with PHRED quality lower than 20. Stampy (Lunter and Goodson 2011) was used to map the reads against the reference contigs used to design the probes. In the case of multiple mappings that are almost (but not exactly) the same, Stampy uses the substitution rate (SR) parameter to choose the best mapping by influencing the mapping quality (MAPQ) score. This parameter was set to take into account the distance of the different species to the reference transcriptome: higher for phylogenetically distant species and lower for phylogenetically close species. Here, *D. valentini* (a member of the Rudis group) was used as the reference species because the contigs derived from the transcriptome of the hybrid species *D. unisexualis* were a consensus between *D. raddei* and *D. valentini* haplotypes (its parental species). Individuals were divided into three groups: Rudis group samples were mapped with $SR = 0.01$, non-Rudis group samples were mapped with $SR = 0.03$, and the outgroup with $SR = 0.1$ (note that the mapping was repeated after correcting erroneous morphological identification of some individuals, see below). SR values were selected after trial-and-error tests for highest mapping efficiency when comparing numbers of variants (after SNP calling) and flagstat values for the alignments (G. Lunter, pers. commun.). Samtools (Li et al. 2009) was used to convert to bam, sort, index, and calculate basic statistics of the alignment (flagstat option). The MarkDuplicates option in Picard tools was used to remove PCR duplicates, which can be common in a capture sequence protocol. SNP calling was performed with FreeBayes (Garrison and Marth 2012) using minimum coverage of two per position. To account for the expected high divergence between samples (given our analysis is at a genus level, and not species level), we called the four best alleles (instead of the default 2). Post-vcf filtering was performed with VCFtools (Danecek et al. 2011) and vcflib (<https://github.com/vcflib/vcflib>), keeping variants with genotype quality >30 and coverage between 5 and 400 for ingroup species (Fig. S2). Ingroup individuals *D. parvula* (Lantz and Cyren 1913) (sample no: 12738), *D. valentini* (12641), and *D. valentini* (16280) were filtered separately because they had higher mean coverage; the selected coverage interval was 5–800 read depth. Outgroup individuals (*I. brandtii*) were filtered with the same parameters as the ingroup except for coverage (read depth = 2–400). Only SNPs were used in the downstream analyses and indels were removed. Multiple nu-

cleotide positions (MNP) identified by FreeBayes were deconstructed with the `vcfallelicprimitives` option from vcflib.

SPECIES STRUCTURE AND POPULATION ANALYSES

To identify any individuals that were misidentified on the basis of morphology, a discriminant analysis of principal components (DAPC) was performed on the SNP dataset (biallelic loci only) using the ADEGENET package (Jombart et al. 2010). The optimum number of clusters was chosen with the `find.clusters` option, by comparing the different clustering solutions using a Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). Genetic composition was further investigated with STRUCTURE (Pritchard et al. 2000) on the total dataset (not only bi-allelic SNPs), which also allowed us to estimate the level of admixture for each species. A random SNP per locus was selected and 10 runs were performed, each with a different combination of randomly selected SNPs. Values from the different runs were combined with Clumpp (Jakobsson and Rosenberg 2007). To select for the best number of clusters K , we used Delta K (Evanno et al. 2005) and the ADEGENET best K approximation calculated with the BIC. The K value with the highest concordance between methods was chosen.

PHYLOGENETIC ANALYSES

Alignments of all samples for each marker were made from the vcf file using a custom python script (<https://github.com/SusanaFreitas/capture-data>). These alignments were then trimmed to remove missing positions allowing a maximum of 10% missing genotypes for each position in each alignment, because missing data can have a strong negative impact on phylogenetic analyses. Each individual marker was analyzed with Bayesian inference (BI) (MrBayes version 3.2; Huelsenbeck and Ronquist 2001; Ronquist and Huelsenbeck 2003) and the resultant output trees were later used as input for the Bucky analysis (Larget et al. 2010; see below for detailed information). In MrBayes, analyses started with randomly generated trees and ran for 30×10^6 generations, with sampling at intervals of 1000 generations, producing 30,000 trees. A GTR + I + Γ model (a General Time Reversible model with invariant sites and a gamma-shaped distribution of rates across sites) was chosen because the data were sufficient to estimate all parameters. Two independent runs were performed on each dataset. To ensure the analysis was performed on the stabilized likelihood, 40% of each total run was treated as burn-in.

Phylogenetic relationships were constructed with *BEAST2 version 2.4.4 (Bouckaert et al. 2014), and the species tree topology was then compared with topologies calculated by SVDQuartets (Chifman and Kubatko 2014; Kubatko and Chifman 2019) and the primary concordance tree from Bucky, the latter also being a reliable estimate of the species tree under the coalescent model (Degnan and Rosenberg 2009) (for more info, see

below). The *BEAST2 package performs simultaneous gene tree and species tree inferences under a combined coalescent and sequence evolution model. To optimize the time and resource consumption in the *BEAST2 analysis, we selected a marker subset consisting of the 31 most informative markers (which corresponded to a combination between the longest markers and the ones with the highest number of SNPs) (Ogilvie et al. 2016). Tests of positive and purifying selection (codon-based Z-test) were performed on two markers (MC1R and C-mos), for which we had confidently assigned reading frames, using MEGA (Kumar et al. 2018) and it showed no evidence for deviation from neutrality. The tMRCAs were estimated setting as a prior the split between the ingroup (*Darevskia*) and the outgroup (*Iranolacerta*) previously estimated as 10 million years ago (Mendes et al. 2016), and run under a strict clock prior. To improve the convergence of the runs, this split was calibrated ignoring uncertainty. To ensure run convergence for *BEAST2, we required ESS values above 200 for all model parameters. Posterior tree samples were combined to produce maximum clade credibility species trees with Tree Annotator version 2.4.4 (Bouckaert et al. 2014), with node heights set to mean age estimates. SVDQuartets (Chifman and Kubatko 2014, 2015) was used with the most variable 300 markers concatenated and run together. This method calculates the unrooted topology for quartets of taxa for each value of a matrix of site patterns (the matrix of concatenated SNPs), and later infers the species phylogeny using the quartet methods (Chifman and Kubatko 2015). SVDQuartets does not allow for clock rate assumptions, so the resulting tree branch lengths were not estimated.

TESTS OF INTROGRESSION

Bucky (Larget et al. 2010) uses Bayesian concordance analysis to estimate the general phylogenetic history of a group of taxa while taking into account the incongruence among gene trees. This software does not make any assumptions regarding the reasons for discordance, which could be either incomplete lineage sorting (ILS), introgressive hybridization, or horizontal gene transfer (HGT); downstream comparative analyses should be undertaken to understand and distinguish the causes of discordance. Bucky takes as input Bayesian inference (BI) trees estimated for each locus analyzed. The higher the number of taxa analyzed, the larger the tree space, which reduces the probability of detecting the same tree more than once. Therefore, to increase Bucky's sensitivity, taxon number was reduced by merging some of the most recently diverged species (identified in the *BEAST2 analysis) into 13 terminal clades. The species merged were *D. braueri* [Mehely 1909] and *D. saxicola* [Eversmann 1834]; *D. mixta-2* and *D. parvula*; and the two *D. praticola* [Eversmann 1834] clades. Only one randomly selected sequence per taxon was used in the BI runs. BI trees were estimated with MrBayes for each

marker, retaining one instance of each topology found. Given the low variability and thus low phylogenetic information of some markers, only the 300 most variable out of the 625 targeted loci were selected for the Bucky run. Next, the total tree distribution for all markers used was examined and, with a nonparametric Dirichlet process, trees were clustered into groups that share the same topology and later used to estimate the concordance factor (CF). The Dirichlet process uses an a priori level of discordance α (here $\alpha = 1$), which combines the information in the sequences of those genes that are inferred to be congruent (Chung and Ane 2011). CFs for each clade were estimated based on the proportion of trees that contain that clade, and a primary concordance tree was then constructed to include the clades with the highest estimated CFs. Clades not present in the concordance tree but with significantly high CF values were considered discordant.

Patterson's *D*-statistics (Durand et al. 2011) was used to test whether the pattern of shared variation between species provided by Bucky was better explained by gene flow than by incomplete lineage sorting. These tests, also called ABBA/BABA tests, determine whether the number of derived alleles shared by reciprocally monophyletic taxa is greater than expected under the null model of incomplete lineage sorting (ILS). Ancestral (A) and derived (B) alleles are identified by comparison with an outgroup taxon. The numbers of ABBA and BABA patterns are calculated in a group of four clades: two sister clades (P1 and P2), a putative admixed clade (P3), and the outgroup (O). If no differential gene flow has happened between one of the sister taxa (P1, P2) and the "admixed" taxon (P3), the numbers of ABBA and BABA patterns are expected to be the same. *D*-statistics were estimated with the doAbbababa option in ANGSD (version 0.930) (Korneliussen et al. 2014), which takes as input the bam files of each taxon (P1, P2, P3, and O), and outputs the *D*-statistic and a Z-score for each test. Because *D*-statistics have to be estimated respecting the topology of the taxa tested, the number of tests we could perform within the genus was limited. Respecting the phylogenetic relationships observed from our previous analyses (*BEAST2 and Bucky concordance tree), a large majority of all possible taxa combinations were tested for introgression. For each tested combination of taxa (hereafter each "test"), individuals of each species were randomly chosen repeatedly so that, for each test, *D*-statistics were calculated for multiple combinations of individuals. Given the lower coverage of the outgroup *Iranolacerta*, to increase the number of positions tested we used *D. valentini* as an outgroup whenever possible, which should increase the power to detect gene flow. For each combination of taxa, 150 random combinations of individuals were run. Significance of tests was interpreted as follows: strong evidence for gene flow when mean Z-score per test was ≥ 4 , good evidence for gene flow when mean Z-score was between 3 and 4, and suggestive evidence for gene flow when mean Z-score was

<3, but Z was ≥ 4 for some combinations of individuals. In the standard four-individual (or taxon) tests, a Z -score ≥ 3 ($P = 0.01$) or $Z \geq 4$ ($P = 0.001$) indicates introgression between P1 and P3 (if the D -statistic is <0), or between P2 and P3 (if the D -statistic is >0), compared with the expectation from ILS alone (Korneliussen et al. 2014).

In addition, formal tests for introgression with three-population (f_3) and four-population (f_4) statistics (Reich et al. 2009) were used to estimate admixture proportions in groups of populations (or taxa) because these tests differ in sensitivity to admixture under different conditions. f_3 and f_4 statistics estimate correlations between allele frequency differences of three (f_3) or four (f_4) populations joined by an unrooted tree. If the populations tested are not admixed, genetic drift (estimated from the allele frequency as a proxy) should generate uncorrelated allele frequencies. If correlation between populations is found, this is indicative of gene flow. The f_3 statistic (X; Y, W) tests for admixture between a test population X and two reference populations, Y and W. In the case of no admixture, its expected value is positive, whereas it is negative in the case of admixture between X and Y, X and W, or both Y and W with X. On the other hand, the f_4 statistic tests the correlation between allele frequency differences in an unrooted tree (A,B; C,D). It is equal to zero if there are no correlations and no admixture occurs across the tree branches; positive if admixture occurs between A and C, between B and D, or both; and negative if admixture occurs between A and D, B and C, or both. The statistical significance for both tests was assessed using a Z -score (f_3 or f_4 divided by its standard deviation), and a threshold Z -score of 3 corresponding to $P = 0.01$ was used. The programs *threepop* and *fourpop* in the TreeMix package (version 1.13; Pickrell and Pritchard 2012) were used to calculate f_3 and f_4 statistics, respectively, as well as Z -scores to assess the significance of the test values for all possible combinations of three and four taxa within *Darevskia*. These Z -scores were then transformed into P -values and significance was confirmed after an False Discovery Rate correction (Benjamini and Hochberg 1995) for multiple comparisons.

To visualize the extent, directionality and time of gene flow, we used the graph-based method developed in TreeMix. This approach uses allele frequencies at genome-wide polymorphisms and a Gaussian approximation of the genetic drift among populations to estimate population graphs where the tree-like relationships among populations are supplemented by a number of admixture events within a chosen interval, here 0–21. The best number of admixture events was estimated by comparing the proportion of the variance in population covariances explained by each population graph with a different number of admixture events, and the best model was also expected to show a consistent improvement in the percentage of variance explained across replicate runs. Following this, migration edge values were added

to the tree, and the estimated admixture events were observed. For TreeMix, f_3 and f_4 , a total of 5823 SNPs was used, missing data excluded. Only ingroup species were tested.

Results

MAPPING AND SNP CALLING

We developed and sequenced 625 new markers for our 69 samples from sexual species (Table S1) and 52 parthenogenetic hybrids (Table S2). In our main dataset (with the sexual species only), our sequences comprised 574,341 bases in the transcriptome reference alignment used, corresponding to 429,078 sites recovered with our probes in the 69 individuals analyzed. On average, 226 bp were missing from each locus (or reference contig), and the amount of recovered sequence was between 25 and 2893 bp per target (reference loci ranged from 550 to 3740bp). All loci were variable, ranging from 1 to 379 SNPs in total (0.12%–24% variable positions excluding the outgroup). In total, the dataset had 64,150 SNPs, and of these 45,959 positions showed a minor allele count of 2 or higher excluding the outgroup, that is, they were phylogenetically informative. Diversity (nucleotide diversity, π , and Tajima's D) among species did not show any systematic differences between species (Figs. S3, S4).

Analyses including the parthenogenetic taxa are reported in File S1. These analyses confirmed the previously described parentage of parthenogenetic species (Fig. S1).

PHYLOGENETIC INFERENCE

Overall, the topology of all the species trees estimated here (for sexual species) recovered the three main clades: Saxicola, Caucasia, and Rudis. The different analyses recovered the same topology, except for the branching of *D. parvula*. *Darevskia parvula* and *D. mixta-2* were found to be the same species, and *D. mixta-2* a morphological misidentification of a new population not described before. The *D. parvula* taxon branched within the Caucasia clade, as a sister species of *D. clarkorum*/*D. mixta-1*, in the *BEAST2 species tree (Fig. 2), but as an outgroup to both the Caucasia and Saxicola clades in Bucky and SVDQuartets trees (Figs. 3, S5). The high level of gene flow found between *D. parvula* and the Rudis clade (see INTROGRESSION TESTS) likely explains this disagreement. Hence, we used the *BEAST2 topology (positioning *D. parvula* within the Caucasia clade) (Fig. 2) in the D -statistics tests. Finally, the topology recovered in our *BEAST2 species tree overall coincided with previous phylogenetic studies on the genus (Murphy et al. 1996; Freitas et al. 2016b, 2019; Murtskhvaladze et al. 2020), but provided greatly increased support for the phylogenetic relationships because of the larger number of markers included.

Our divergence time estimates suggest that the *Darevskia* genus started differentiating during the early Pliocene,




Figure 2. DensiTree representation of the *BEAST2 species tree inference for the sexual *Darevskia* species analyzed in this study based on the 31 most informative markers. Parental species of parthenogenetic lineages are highlighted: green for the paternal species and gray for the maternal species. The major clades recovered are noted with letters, (a) Rudis, (b) Caucasia, and (c) Saxicola. Branch colors correspond to the likelihood of the trees: blue is the most likely tree topology, red the second, and green the third. The consensus tree is drawn as a single blue line above all the others and its posterior probability values are presented on each node, and noted as a black dot whenever they are 1.0.

~5 million years ago, splitting into three major clades, Saxicola, Caucasia, and Rudis (Fig. 2). The first node in the estimated phylogenetic tree for *Darevskia* (Figs. 2, S6) separates the Rudis clade from the remaining taxa, and is dated ~5 [3.9, 6.1] million years ago (posterior mode [95% credible interval]). The next node separates the Saxicola clade (*D. praticola*, *D. brauneri*, and *D. saxicola*) and the Caucasia clade (*D. raddei*, *D. mixta*, *D. parvula*, and *D. chlorogaster* [Boulenger 1908]; *D. steineri* [Eiselt 1995]; *D. defilippii* [Camerano 1877]; *D. clarkorum* [Darevsky and Vedmederja 1977]; *D. derjugini* [Nikolsky 1898]), at ~4.5 [3.5, 5.5] million years ago. The Balkan and Caucasian populations of *D. praticola* were estimated to have separated ~1 [0.651, 1.463] million years ago. However, these time estimates must be interpreted with caution, given the evidence for introgression described below.

INTROGRESSION TESTS

We found evidence of widespread introgression among species within the genus *Darevskia*, both recent and past. Surprisingly, no gene flow was detected among the parental pairs of the asexual hybrids.

Bucky found 13 incongruences (Fig. 3), eight of which coincided with either significant *D*- or *f* tests (see Table 1 for de-

tails). Most incongruences that did not coincide with the other gene flow tests were incongruences between deep clades and not terminal taxa, making them difficult to detect with the other tests. The only recent Bucky incongruences that did not coincide with either *D* or *f* tests, or TreeMix, were the ones between *D. raddei* and *D. steineri*, and between *D. rudis* (von Bedriaga 1886) and *D. portschinskii* that are likely due to incomplete lineage sorting and not gene flow. In general, the incongruences (all 13, but also the subset coincident with the gene flow tests) were distributed throughout the depth of the tree, from deep branches (e.g., Rudis clade-*D. parvula*) to recently diverged taxa (e.g., *D. defilippii*-*D. raddei*).

D-statistics provided evidence for gene flow in 20 tests, distributed throughout the genus, from a total of 147 tests performed (Table S3). Three tests showed strong evidence for gene flow; between *D. chlorogaster* and *D. praticola*, *D. clarkorum* and *D. praticola*, and *D. mixta*-1 and *D. praticola*. Eleven tests showed good evidence for gene flow and six other tests showed suggestive evidence (for a summary of test results, see Table 1).

The *f*₄ analysis also provided additional evidence for widespread admixture in the genus. Given the nature of the *f*₄ test, which analyses two species pairs and should be evaluated as admixture between either/or both species pairs tested, it was




Figure 3. Primary concordance tree inferred with Bucky, constructed with the 300 most variable out of the 625 targeted loci. Insets correspond to frequent clades that are not represented in the primary concordance tree. Vertical lines connecting branches indicate significant incongruences, with colors indicating concordance factor (CF) values as identified in the gradient (gradient bar on the bottom right). Node values correspond to the concordance factor for the respective clade. Branch length measured as coalescent units (scale bar).

only used here as a confirmation of other admixture evidence. A total of 16 tests out of all possible combinations analyzed (2575) showed evidence of admixture (see Table 1 for overlap with other evidence for gene flow). The significant f_4 test 4h (Table 1) included the pairs *D. portschinskii*/*D. mixta*-1 (parents of the parthenogenetic *D. rostombekowi*) and *D. valentini*/*D. rudis*. Given the nature of the f_4 test, its significance means that there is evidence for gene flow either within both pairs or only one of them. Because there was strong evidence for gene flow for the pair *D. valentini*/*D. rudis* from other tests (Bucky and five other f_4 tests), and no other introgression test detected gene flow between *D. portschinskii* and *D. mixta*-1, it is likely the gene flow between *D. valentini* and *D. rudis* was solely responsible for the significance here. No significant values were obtained from the three-population formal test (f_3).

TreeMix was used to construct a tree connecting the 14 species to describe and position the admixture events. The variance explained by the TreeMix ML model without migration edges was 98.9% (Fig. S7), and it was significantly increased by adding migration edges up to the model with four migration edges (Figs. 4, S7). No evidence for introgression between the parthenogens' parental pairs was found by TreeMix (Fig. 4). The TreeMix model also showed admixture events throughout the

evolutionary time-scale of *Darevskia*, with events happening recently (such as the *D. raddei*-*D. chlorogaster* introgression) and others estimated to predate the first split within its clades (such as the *D. praticola*-Caucasica clade). This analysis also showed, in concordance with all the others, introgressive events between the parthenogens' sexual parentals and other *Darevskia* species, but not within the parthenogens' parental pairs. The values of the residual covariance between each species pair identify deviations from the proposed model. For those species pairs with darker colors in the matrix of Figure 4, the bifurcating model together with the estimated migration events may still not fully explain the covariance among *Darevskia* species.

Overall, all introgression tests (Bucky, D - and f_4 tests, and TreeMix) tended to show consistent patterns (Table 1). Although some species were frequently implicated in gene flow events (for instance *D. raddei* was found in 11 significant events of gene flow with other species), others showed little evidence of gene flow (such as the case of *D. saxicola*, which was picked only once by f_4 with *D. portschinskii*). However, and despite the parental species being some of the species more frequently implicated in the gene flow events, all introgression analyses undertaken agreed in the absence of evidence for gene flow among the parental pairs of the parthenogenetic hybrids.

Table 1. Summary table of gene flow evidence detected.

	BRAU	CHLO	CLAR	DEFI	DER	MIX1	MIX2	PAR	POR	PRA	RAD	RUD	SAX	STEI	VAL
BRAU		74													
CHLO			38, 41, 43, 137					31		67	59, 60				
CLAR		2, 4(k)			37, 40, 42					142	138			140	
DEFI	4(a,b)					133									
DER			2			52									
MIX1	4(c,d)			2	2					136	132			134	
MIX2															
PAR		2													
POR	4(e)	4(f)	4(g)			4(h)	4(i)	3, 4(j)							
PRA		2, 3	2			2									
RAD		1, 2, 3, 4(a,l)	2	1, 3	1, 4(b)	2, 3		4(c)		4(o)					
RUD	4(m)	4(n)						1	1						
SAX									4(o,p)						
STEI	4(k,l)		2	2		2		4(d)		4(p)	1				
VAL									4(m,n)			1, 4(e-j)			

Summary table of all significant instances of evidence for gene flow between terminal branches found by the methods used here. Events involving deeper branches (such as some of those detected by Bucky and TreeMix) are not represented here. Entries in the lower triangle show the significant instances of gene flow detected by each method used: Bucky (1), ABBA/BABA (2), TreeMix (3), and f4 (4). Each significant f4 test is identified by a letter from a to p (4a–4p). The top half of the table indicates which ABBA/BABA tests were significant (see Table S3 for test details). Green squares indicate species pairs that have given rise to parthenogenetic hybrid lineages. Species names are as follows: *D. braueri* (BRAU), *D. chlorogaster* (CHLO), *D. defilippii* (DEFI), *D. derjugini* (DER), *D. mixta-1* (MIX1), *D. parvula* (MIX2 and PAR), *D. portschinskii* (POR), *D. praticola* (PRA), *D. raddei* (RAD), *D. rudis* (RUD), *D. saxicola* (SAX), *D. steineri* (STEI), and *D. valentini* (VAL).

Discussion

In this study, we assessed the extent of introgressive hybridization among 14 sexual species of *Darevskia* rock lizards. In vertebrates, hybridization has repeatedly generated parthenogenetic hybrid lineages. However, it is not known whether this hybridization is a specific property of the parthenogens' parental species

pair, nor if the result of the hybridization was only hybrid asexuality, or perhaps also gene flow between the parental species. In this study, we tested for evidence of gene flow between sexual species to understand the relationship between hybrid asexuality and reticulate evolution. We found widespread gene flow, happening throughout the divergence continuum, both between deep




Figure 4. TreeMix Maximum Likelihood (ML) tree estimated from the allelic frequencies of 5823 SNPs. ML tree (top) and residuals from the fit of the ML model (bottom) inferred with 4 migration edges. The arrows on the ML tree indicate the directionality of gene flow on the migration edge and the color of the edge reflects the intensity of admixture. The heat colors in the residual matrix represent the covariation unexplained by the corresponding tree (light colors are close to 0, dark colors have higher residuals). Positive residuals indicate taxa are more closely similar to each other than expected under the proposed model.

branches and following more recent splits, but not between the parental pairs of the parthenogenetic hybrids, showing that the origin of parthenogenesis in *Darevskia* is a rare outcome of common hybridization within the genus, but also a common outcome of rare hybridization between specific pairs of species.

EVOLUTIONARY HISTORY OF *Darevskia*

Our species tree is consistent with earlier *Darevskia* phylogenetic studies (Murphy et al. 2000; Murtskhvaladze et al. 2020), except for the placement of two species (*D. parvula* and *D.*

mixta-1, hereafter *D. mixta*). Earlier phylogenies were based on a limited set of markers (e.g., Murphy et al. 2000; Ahmadzadeh et al. 2013; Pyron et al. 2013; Roquet et al. 2014; Murtskhvaladze et al. 2020) and/or fewer species, excluding several of the *Darevskia* species found only in Iran (currently, *D. chlorogaster* and *D. defilippii* species complexes with *D. steineri* as part of the latter; Ahmadzadeh et al. 2013), and the Balkan clade of *D. praticola*, all of which we include here. In our study, *D. parvula* is shown to be an early diverging lineage within the Caucasia clade, whereas other studies placed *D. parvula* within the Rudis clade (Fu et al. 1997; Murphy et al. 2000), or as an outgroup to the other *Darevskia* species (Garcia-Porta et al. 2019; Murtskhvaladze et al. 2020). This positioning is likely a misplacement due to the extensive gene flow we found in this study between *D. parvula* and the Rudis clade (Figs. 3, 4; Table 1). Similarly, in our analyses *D. mixta* is consistently found together with *D. clarkorum*, but reported as a sister species to *D. raddei* in previous studies (Murphy et al. 1996). Even though later studies have also recovered *D. clarkorum* as the sister species to *D. mixta* (Garcia-Porta et al. 2019; Murtskhvaladze et al. 2020), the relationships within this clade (the Caucasia clade) are somewhat different to the topology we recover. Like *D. parvula*, *D. mixta*, *D. raddei*, and *D. chlorogaster* (all from the Caucasia clade) also showed evidence for frequent introgressive hybridization with other sexual species (Fig. 4; Table 1), which could explain why it is difficult to place them correctly without using an approach that takes into account the influence that strong introgression can have on species tree estimation. Most previous *Darevskia* phylogenies are based on concatenation methods (Pyron et al. 2013; Roquet et al. 2014; Garcia-Porta et al. 2019; Murtskhvaladze et al. 2020), which cannot handle heterogeneity among gene trees and have been consistently shown to perform poorly when gene flow (or other evolutionary processes) causes incongruence in the gene trees (e.g., Liu et al. 2015; Jiang et al. 2020). On the other hand, the multispecies coalescent methods used here have been shown to perform well in estimating species trees even in the presence of gene flow (SVDQuartets: Long and Kubatko 2018). These methods can integrate gene tree incongruences (caused by incomplete lineage sorting or gene flow) into the species tree construction (Bucky: Larget et al. 2010), or co-estimate gene trees with the species tree (Heled and Drummond 2010; Bouckaert et al. 2014; Mallo and Posada 2016; Ogilvie et al. 2016).

The first split within the genus *Darevskia* happened in the early Pliocene, ~5 million years ago. This period was characterized by high volcanic activity in the study area (that lasted until the Mid Pliocene: Tarkhnishvili et al. 2013). This volcanic activity contributed to great orogenic and climate changes that led to a regression of seawater and the formation of the modern outlines of the Black and Caspian Seas among other consequences (Popov et al. 2006). These abrupt changes offered new habitats and

allowed for diverging taxa to expand into new territories, leading to rapid divergence and paving the way for the high speciation rates of this genus. We also find that the divergence within *D. praticola*, between the Balkan and Caucasian clades, is not as old as previously reported (2.5 million years: Freitas et al. 2016b), but instead is estimated to have occurred ~ 1 million years [0.651, 1.463] ago. This contradiction further confirms the idea that multilocus coalescent phylogenies, which take into account different gene trees, are better suited to get a more accurate evolutionary history of groups of organisms with such complex histories of reticulate evolution and hybridization.

HYBRIDIZATION AND GENE FLOW

Although we cannot formally date the introgressive events on the tree, the different introgression analyses performed here can detect gene flow that happened in different periods. The formal tests (*D*- and *f*₄ statistics) are not sensitive to recombination because they can detect gene flow even after recombination has partially diluted the introgressed genome. In contrast, because Bucky is based on gene tree analyses, it can be more affected by recombination. If recombination breaks down the introgressed sequence analyzed, clades may not coalesce, thus diluting the signal of the introgression. Following this reasoning, although *D*-statistics and *f*₄ formal tests can detect both old and recent introgressive hybridization, Bucky can only detect gene flow events that have not been diluted by recombination, and therefore are relatively recent. A caveat of Bucky analyses is that it does not distinguish between ILS and gene flow (Larget et al. 2010). However, because the formal tests (*D* and *f*₄ statistics) used are not expected to be affected by ILS (when significant, gene flow is the only responsible actor; Durand et al. 2011), they were used to confirm whether incongruences detected by Bucky were due to ILS or gene flow. To complement these analyses, TreeMix was used not only to confirm the patterns of introgression found, but also to further place the historical splits and admixture within *Darevskia*. A final caveat in relation to the gene flow tests performed here: because we use protein coding sequences (and not neutral markers), we have to consider that these markers may be under purifying selection, which would tend to cause underestimation of introgression. However, the markers tested showed no evidence of being under selection (despite the same markers being found to be under selection in other reptile groups). Moreover, it is difficult to see how possible purifying selection would generate a bias against detecting introgression between parents of asexual taxa. Therefore, we think putative purifying selection is unlikely to have influenced our major results.

All tests of introgression used here showed evidence for gene flow events, except the *f*₃ formal test. A significantly negative *f*₃ (X; Y, W) value indicates that the frequencies of alleles in population X tend to be intermediate between Y and W, which can

only arise if population X resulted from a mixture of populations related to Y and W. However, if populations had had enough time for drift, or X had suffered bottlenecks after the admixture event, consistent with the complex demographic history of this genus, the *f*₃ statistic may not recover a signal of introgression (Decker et al. 2014; Kamdem et al. 2017), which can explain why we find evidence for gene flow with the other tests, and not the *f*₃.

We find widespread gene flow events between taxa and along the divergence continuum, not only restricted to those recently separated, but also between those more anciently diverged, even though the precise date and extent of introgression could not be measured. Given the reticulate evolutionary history of this genus, the parthenogenetic hybrid species are present witnesses to past interspecies hybridization events. It has been suggested that secondary contact with hybridization was facilitated by the complex landscape in the Anatolia/Caucasus region. Indeed, secondary contact and introgressive hybridization have happened frequently not only in this genus (Tarkhnishvili et al. 2013; Freitas et al. 2016a; Candan et al. 2021; Rato et al. 2021), but also in many other vertebrate genera occupying the same region (e.g., Pabijan et al. 2017; van Riemsdijk et al. 2017; Wielstra et al. 2017; Levin et al. 2019). The evidence for widespread introgressive hybridization in *Darevskia* confirms the earlier expectations for frequent secondary contact throughout the evolution of this group.

GENE FLOW, PARTHENOGENESIS AND REPRODUCTIVE BARRIERS IN DIVERGING CLADES

Widespread gene flow, as we show in *Darevskia*, has been repeatedly found in many other vertebrate groups, including fish (cichlids: Malinsky et al. 2018) and other reptile groups, particularly lizards (Gottscho et al. 2017; Grummer et al. 2018; Caeiro-Dias et al. 2021). However, most groups with hybridization did not generate parthenogenetic species (at least none that survived to the present). Thus, parthenogenetic vertebrates originate, or persist, in groups with widespread introgressive hybridization (our study; Barley et al. 2019; Morando et al. 2020), but widespread hybridization alone is not sufficient for generating asexual hybrid species.

In *Darevskia*, the restriction of parthenogenesis to crosses between specific sexual species is not because hybridization is most common between these species, but instead because hybridization is widespread yet only generates parthenogenesis in some clades. Indeed, despite the high number of introgressive events detected among *Darevskia* in general, no gene flow was detected among the parental pairs of the parthenogenetic hybrids, *D. raddei* or *D. mixta* (maternal species) and *D. portschinskii* or *D. rudis/D. valentini* (paternal species). This pattern, hybridization events responsible for the origin of parthenogenetic hybrids that cannot produce sexual (and fertile) hybrids simultaneously,

has been described previously in taxa that generated parthenogenetic individuals via experimental crosses between two sexual species (fish: Schultz 1973; nematodes: Lamelza et al. 2019). Furthermore, other lizard groups with sexual and parthenogenetic hybrids also present the same pattern of widespread introgressive hybridization, but absence of gene flow between the parental pairs of asexual species (*Aspidoscelis*: Barley et al. 2019; *Liolaemus*: Morando et al. 2020).

Considering the evidence for widespread gene flow we found in *Darevskia*, together with the absence of gene flow among the parental pairs of the parthenogens, we can suggest that these sexual species pairs became isolated early in their divergence, either geographically or by intrinsic barriers, and when hybridizing they could only produce parthenogenetic (and perhaps sexually infertile) hybrids. If the origin of parthenogenetic hybrids in vertebrates was due to the phylogenetic distance between parentals alone, as the Balance Hypothesis suggests, diverging taxa would remain able to exchange genetic material (when in contact) until they reached a certain genetic distance. Then, other groups with widespread introgressive hybridization would be likely candidates to contain parthenogenetic species, because there would have been multiple opportunities to generate them at the appropriate stages of divergence. Moreover, there would be no reason why the parental pairs would not be able to exchange genes (if meeting before reaching the genetic distance that would prevent production of viable and fertile sexual hybrids, but allow them to produce a parthenogenetic hybrid). Given only a subset of species pairs can produce parthenogenetic hybrids, and these species have shown a history of frequent past introgressive hybridization with other sexual species, but not among themselves, the overall evidence is more concordant with the expectations of the Phylogenetic Constraint Hypothesis. This hypothesis suggests that the potential for an interspecies hybridization event to generate a parthenogenetic hybrid is dependent on lineage-specific genetic factors. Obviously, a certain distance between the parentals is needed to disrupt the sexual viability of the hybrid and hybridization at this early stage might result in gene flow. However, distance alone cannot explain the origin of the asexual hybrids, and intrinsic aspects of the parental pairs must be involved.

Sexual reproduction is widespread in nature, and its higher potential for adaptation is generally considered to explain its prevalence over asexual reproduction (McDonald et al. 2016; Luijckx et al. 2017). However, the demographic advantage of asexual populations (Maynard Smith 1971), the existence of lineages known to be reproducing solely asexually for millions of years (Judson and Normark 1996; but see Schwander 2016), the lack of associated costs to asexuality (in the specific case of *Darevskia*: Arakelyan et al. 2019), and the potential of asexuals to occupy more diverse habitats than their sexual relatives (in

Darevskia: Tarkhnishvili et al. 2010) indicate that a complementary explanation for the scarcity of asexual lineages is needed. If the conditions that lead to the origin of asexual lineages are very particular, this could be a major restriction acting on the source of new asexual individuals and another factor to consider regarding the ubiquity of sexual reproduction in nature (Vrijenhoek 1989; Schwander and Crespi 2009). Our results suggest that parthenogenetic hybrid lineages can only be generated under rather special conditions, via crosses between specific parental species, thus constraining the number of parthenogenetic vertebrate lineages on the basis of a low origin rate.

Our results challenge the conventional understanding of the conditions required for the origin of parthenogenetic lineages by interspecific hybridization, and suggest that genetic distance alone is not a sufficient explanation for hybrid parthenogenesis. Hence, specific properties of the parental genomes and incompatibilities arising in the hybrid genome should be considered in the discussion surrounding the mechanisms behind vertebrate parthenogenesis. Other authors (Kupriyanova 1997, 1999; Murphy et al. 2000) have suggested that the level of divergence must have acted together with sex chromosome incompatibilities in the hybrid. The relevance of sex chromosomes in the mechanism behind asexual reproduction in *Darevskia* has been further supported by recent evidence that has shown that meiotic cells in *D. unisexualis*, one of the most widespread parthenogenetic *Darevskia*, have normal synaptic complexes between all autosomes, but no synapsis is found between sex chromosomes (Spangenberg et al. 2020). Even though lack of synapsis in other vertebrate groups leads to arrest of meiosis and apoptosis of the dividing cells (Bhattacharyya et al. 2013), in rock lizards (or at least in *D. unisexualis* parthenogenetic hybrids) there is a reduction or even complete absence of these checkpoints, and meiosis proceeds until the formation of oocytes (Spangenberg et al. 2020). Although the lack of checkpoints may be relevant for the mechanisms of parthenogenesis in *Darevskia*, in other lizard groups, such as *Aspidoscelis neomexicana*, checkpoints have to be respected for the occurrence of meiosis, and only cells that have had a premeiotic chromosome duplication, with pairing and recombination occurring between identical chromosomes (instead of homologs like in sexual meiosis), are able to finish meiosis and reach the oocyte stage (Newton et al. 2016). Unlike *Aspidoscelis*, *Darevskia* parthenogenetic meiosis does not have (or require) a premeiotic chromosome duplication. This disparity in only two groups analyzed suggests that the mechanisms of meiosis in vertebrate parthenogens may not conform to a one-size-fits-all scenario. Indeed, understanding how these organisms escape the constraints of sexual mechanisms for reproduction is important not only to shed light on asexual reproduction itself, but will also help us understanding key mechanisms underlying sexual reproduction and meiosis.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

SF, RKB, MAC, and DJH designed the study. SF, MA, ÇI, YK, MAC, and DJH collected the samples. SF generated the molecular data. SF carried out all analyses with input from RKB and AW, and interpretations were discussed between all authors. SF wrote the draft manuscript with all authors contributing to the final version.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank A. van der Meijden and F. Ahmadzadeh for providing specimens and tissue samples, and A. Vardanyan, C. Corti, F. Jorge, and S. Drovetski for support during field work. The authors also thank S. Qiu for assistance with python scripting, S. Rocha for her support in BEAST analysis, and B. Wielstra for his comments on a previous version of the manuscript. SF was funded by FCT grant SFRH/BD/81483/2011 (a PhD individual grant). AMW was funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement no. 797747. TS acknowledges funding from the Swiss National Science Foundation (grants PP00P3_170627 and 31003A_182495). The work was carried out under financial support of the projects "Preserving Armenian biodiversity: Joint Portuguese – Armenian program for training in modern conservation biology" of Gulbenkian Foundation (Portugal) and PTDC/BIA-BEC/101256/2008 of Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT, Portugal).

REFERENCES

- Abbott, R. J., N. H. Barton, and J. M. Good. 2016. Genomics of hybridization and its evolutionary consequences. *Mol. Ecol.* 25:2325–2332.
- Abbott, R., D. Albach, S. Ansell, J. W. Arntzen, S. J. E. Baird, N. Bierne, J. Boughman, A. Brelsford, C. A. Buerkle, R. Buggs, et al. 2013. Hybridization and speciation. *J. Evol. Biol.* 26:229–246.
- Ahmadzadeh, F., M. Flecks, M. A. Carretero, O. Mozaffari, W. Böhme, D. J. Harris, S. Freitas, and D. Rödder. 2013. Cryptic speciation patterns in Iranian rock lizards uncovered by integrative taxonomy. *PLoS ONE* 8:e80563.
- Alves, M. J., M. M. Coelho, and M. J. Collares-Pereira. 2001. Evolution in action through hybridisation and polyploidy in an Iberian freshwater fish: a genetic review. *Genetica* 111:375–385.
- Arakelyan, M., T. Harutyunyan, S. A. Aghayan, and M. A. Carretero. 2019. Infection of parthenogenetic lizards by blood parasites does not support the "Red Queen hypothesis" but reveals the costs of sex. *Zoology* 136:125709.
- Arribas, O. J. (1999) Phylogeny and relationships of the Mountain lizards of Europe and Near East (Archaeolacerta Mertens, 1921 sensu lato) and their relationships among the Eurasian lacertid radiation. *Russ. J. Herpetol.* 6:1–22.
- Avise, J. C. 2008. Clonality: the genetics, ecology, and evolution of sexual abstinence in vertebrate animals. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford.
- Barley, A. J., A. Nieto-Montes de Oca, T. W. Reeder, N. L. Manríquez-Morán, J. C. Arenas Monroy, O. Hernández-Gallegos, and R. C. Thomson. 2019. Complex patterns of hybridization and introgression across evolutionary timescales in Mexican whiptail lizards (*Aspidoscelis*). *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* 132:284–295.
- Barracough, T. G. 2010. Evolving entities: towards a unified framework for understanding diversity at the species and higher levels. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 365:1801–1813.
- Benjamini, Y., and Y. Hochberg. 1995. Controlling the false discovery rate: a practical and powerful approach to multiple testing. *J. R. Stat. Soc. B Stat. Methodol.* 57:289–300.
- Bhattacharyya, T., S. Gregorova, O. Mihola, M. Anger, J. Sebestova, P. Denny, P. Simecek, and J. Forejt. 2013. Mechanistic basis of infertility of mouse interspecific hybrids. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 110:E468–E477.
- Boettger, O. 1892. Kriechthiere der Kaukasusländer, gesammelt durch die Radde-Valentin'sche Expedition nach dem Karabagh und durch die Herren Dr. J. Valentin un P. Reibisch. *Ber. Senck. Ges.* 1892:131–150.
- Bose, Nikhil, Katie Carlberg, George Sensabaugh, Henry Erlich, and Cassandra Calloway. 2018. Target capture enrichment of nuclear SNP markers for massively parallel sequencing of degraded and mixed samples. *Forensic Science International. Genetics* 34:186–96.
- Bouckaert, R., J. Heled, D. Kühnert, T. Vaughan, C.-H. Wu, D. Xie, M. A. Suchard, A. Rambaut, and A. J. Drummond. 2014. BEAST 2: a software platform for bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLOS Computational Biology* 10:e1003537.
- Boulenger, G.A. 1908. Description of a new species of Lacerta from Persia. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 1907:934–936.
- Brunes, T. O., A. J. da Silva, S. Marques-Souza, M. T. Rodrigues, and K. C. M. Pellegrino. 2019. Not always young: the first vertebrate ancient origin of true parthenogenesis found in an Amazon leaf litter lizard with evidence of mitochondrial haplotypes surfing on the wave of a range expansion. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 135:105–122.
- Caeiro-Dias, G., A. Brelsford, A. Kaliontzopoulou, M. Meneses-Ribeiro, P.-A. Crochet, and C. Pinho. 2021. Variable levels of introgression between the endangered *Podarcis carbonelli* and highly divergent congeneric species. *Heredity* 126:463–476.
- Camerano, L. 1877. Considerazioni sul genere Lacerta LINN. e descrizione di due nuove specie. *Atti Accad. Sci. Torino* 13:79–98.
- Candan, K., P. Kornilios, D. Ayaz, Y. Kumluca, S. Gül, E. Y. Caynak, and Ç. Ilgaz. 2021. Cryptic genetic structure within Valentin's Lizard, *Darevskia valentini* (Boettger, 1892) (Squamata, Lacertidae), with implications for systematics and origins of parthenogenesis. *Syst. Biodivers.* 19:665–681.
- Chifman, J., and L. Kubatko. 2014. Quartet inference from SNP data under the coalescent model. *Bioinformatics* 30:3317–3324.
- Chifman, J., and L. Kubatko. 2015. Identifiability of the unrooted species tree topology under the coalescent model with time-reversible substitution processes, site-specific rate variation, and invariable sites. *Journal of Theoretical Biology* 374:35–47.
- Chung, Y., and C. Ane. 2011. Comparing two bayesian methods for gene tree/species tree reconstruction: simulations with incomplete lineage sorting and horizontal gene transfer. *Systematic Biology* 60:261–275.
- Cronk, Q., and J. Y. Yang. 2016. Hybridization between pollination syndromes as an ecological and evolutionary resource. *Molecular Ecology* 25:5827–5829.
- Cuevas, A., M. Ravinet, G.-P. Sætre, and F. Eroukhanoff. 2021. Intraspecific genomic variation and local adaptation in a young hybrid species. *Mol. Ecol.* 30:791–809.
- Danecek, P., A. Auton, G. Abecasis, C. A. Albers, E. Banks, M. A. DePristo, R. E. Handsaker, G. Lunter, G. T. Marth, S. T. Sherry, G. McVean, and R. Durbin. 2011. The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* 27:2156–2158.
- Danielyan, F., M. Arakelyan, and I. Stepanyan. 2008. Hybrids of *Darevskia valentini*, *D. armeniaca* and *D. unisexualis* from a sympatric population in Armenia. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 29:487–504.
- Darevsky, I. & VEDMEDERJA, V. 1977. A new species of rock lizard Lacerta saxicola EVERS-MANN group from northeastern Turkey and adjoining regions of Adjaria [in Russian]. *Trudy Zool. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, 74:50–54.

- Darevsky, I. 1967. Rock lizards of the Caucasus: systematics, ecology and phylogenesis of the polymorphic groups of Caucasian rock lizards of the subgenus *Archaeolacerta*. Nauka, Leningrad, Russia.
- Darevsky, I.S. 1966. Natural parthogenesis in a polymorphic group of Caucasian Rock lizards related to *Lacerta saxicola* EVERS-MANN. *Journ. Ohio Herpetol. Soc.* 5:115–152.
- Decker, J. E., S. D. McKay, M. M. Rolf, J. Kim, A. Molina Alcalá, T. S. Sonstegard, O. Hanotte, A. Götherström, C. M. Seabury, L. Praharani, et al. 2014. Worldwide patterns of ancestry, divergence, and admixture in domesticated cattle. *PLoS Genetics* 10:e1004254.
- Degnan, J. H., and N. A. Rosenberg. 2009. Gene tree discordance, phylogenetic inference and the multispecies coalescent. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 24:332–340.
- Dobzhansky, T. 1940. Speciation as a stage in evolutionary divergence. *The American Naturalist* 74:312–321.
- Durand, E. Y., N. Patterson, D. Reich, and M. Slatkin. 2011. Testing for ancient admixture between closely related populations. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 28:2239–2252.
- Eiselt, J. 1995. Ein Beitrag zur Kenntnis der Archaeolacerten (sensu Mehely, 1909) des Iran (Squamata: Sauria: Lacertidae). *Herpetozoa* 8 (1–2):59–72.
- Evanno, G., S. Regnaut, and J. Goudet. 2005. Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software structure: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology* 14:2611–2620.
- Eversmann, E. 1834. Lacertae Imperii Rossici variis in itineribus meis observatae. [Lacerta sylvicola]. *Nouv. Mém. Soc. imp. Natur. (Moscow)* 3:339–358.
- Filippi, F.D.E. 1863. Nuove o poco note specie di animali vertebrati raccolte in un viaggio in Persia. *Arch. Zool. Anat. Fisiol. (Genova)* 2:377–394.
- Freitas, S. N., D. J. Harris, N. Sillero, M. Arakelyan, R. K. Butlin, and M. A. Carretero. 2019. The role of hybridisation in the origin and evolutionary persistence of vertebrate parthenogens: a case study of *Darevskia* lizards. *Heredity* 123:795–808.
- Freitas, S., A. Vavakou, M. Arakelyan, S. V. Drovetski, J. Crnobrnja-isailović, A. A. Kidov, D. Cogălniceanu, C. Corti, P. Lymberakis, D. J. Harris, et al. 2016b. Cryptic diversity and unexpected evolutionary patterns in the meadow lizard, *Darevskia praticola* (Eversmann, 1834). *Systematics and Biodiversity* 14:184–197.
- Freitas, S., S. Rocha, J. Campos, F. Ahmadzadeh, C. Corti, N. Sillero, Ç. Ilgaz, Y. Kumlutaş, M. Arakelyan, D. J. Harris, et al. 2016a. Parthenogenesis through the ice ages: a biogeographic analysis of Caucasian rock lizards (genus *Darevskia*). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 102:117–127.
- Fu, J., R. W. Murphy, and I. S. Darevsky. 1997. Toward the phylogeny of Caucasian rock lizards: implications from mitochondrial DNA gene sequences (Reptilia: Lacertidae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 120:463–477.
- Fujita, M. K., and C. Moritz. 2009. Origin and evolution of parthenogenetic genomes in lizards: current state and future directions. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* 127:261–272.
- Fujita, M. K., S. Singhal, T. O. Brunes, and J. A. Maldonado. 2020. Evolutionary dynamics and consequences of parthenogenesis in vertebrates. *Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics* 51:191–214.
- García-Porta, J., I. Irisarri, M. Kirchner, A. Rodríguez, S. Kirchoff, J. L. Brown, A. MacLeod, A. P. Turner, F. Ahmadzadeh, G. Albaladejo, et al. 2019. Environmental temperatures shape thermal physiology as well as diversification and genome-wide substitution rates in lizards. *Nature Communications* 10:4077.
- Garrison, E., and G. Marth. 2012. Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. *arXiv* <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1207.3907>.
- Gottscho, A. D., D. A. Wood, A. G. Vandergast, J. Lemos-Espinal, J. Gatesy, and T. W. Reeder. 2017. Lineage diversification of fringe-toed lizards (Phrynosomatidae: *Uma notata* complex) in the Colorado Desert: delimiting species in the presence of gene flow. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 106:103–117.
- Grummer, J. A., M. M. Morando, L. J. Avila, J. W. Sites, and A. D. Leaché. 2018. Phylogenomic evidence for a recent and rapid radiation of lizards in the Patagonian *Liolaemus fitzingerii* species group. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 125:243–254.
- Heled, J., and A. J. Drummond. 2010. Bayesian inference of species trees from multilocus data. *Mol Biol Evol* 27:570–580.
- Huelsenbeck, J. P., and F. Ronquist. 2001. MRBAYES: Bayesian Inference of phylogenetic trees. *Bioinformatics* 17:754–755.
- IUCN. 2020. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2020–1. Available via <https://www.iucnredlist.org>.
- Jakobsson, M., and N. A. Rosenberg. 2007. CLUMPP: a cluster matching and permutation program for dealing with label switching and multimodality in analysis of population structure. *Bioinformatics* 23:1801–1806.
- Jiang, X., S. V. Edwards, and L. Liu. 2020. The multispecies coalescent model outperforms concatenation across diverse phylogenomic data sets. *Syst Biol* 69:795–812. Oxford Academic.
- Jombart, T., S. Devillard, and F. Balloux. 2010. Discriminant analysis of principal components: a new method for the analysis of genetically structured populations. *BMC Genet.* 11:94.
- Judson, O. P., and B. B. Normark. 1996. Ancient asexual scandals. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution* 11:41–46.
- Kamdem, C., C. Fouet, S. Gamez, and B. White. 2017. Genomic signatures of introgression at late stages of speciation in a malaria mosquito. *Evol. Appl.* 10:897–906.
- Kearney, M., M. K. Fujita, and J. Ridenour. 2009. Lost sex in the reptiles: constraints and correlations. Pp. 447–474 in I. Schön, K. Martens, and P. Dijk, eds. *Lost sex*. Springer, The Netherlands.
- Kessler, K.F. 1878. Transcaucasian voyage [in Russian]. *Ges. Naturf. St. Petersburg* 8:143.
- Korneliusson, T. S., A. Albrechtsen, and R. Nielsen. 2014. ANGSD: analysis of next generation sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics* 15:356.
- Kubatko, L., and J. Chifman. 2019. An invariants-based method for efficient identification of hybrid species from large-scale genomic data. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 19:112.
- Kupriyanova, L. 1997. Some cytogenetical regular trends in reticular (hybridogenous) speciation in unisexual lizards (Reptilia: Lacertilia) and other groups of vertebrates. *Tsitologia* 39:1089–1108.
- Kupriyanova, L. 1999. Genetic variations in hybrid unisexual species and forms of the genus *Lacerta* (Lacertidae, Reptilia): possible cytogenetic mechanisms, cytogenetics of meiosis in natural polyploid forms. *Cytologia* 41:1038–1046.
- Lamelza, P., J. M. Young, L. M. Noble, L. Caro, A. Isakharov, M. Palanisamy, M. V. Rockman, H. S. Malik, and M. Ailion. 2019. Hybridization promotes asexual reproduction in *Caenorhabditis* nematodes. *PLoS Genetics* 15:e1008520.
- Lantz, L.A. & Cyrén, O. 1913. Eine neue Varietät der Felseneidechse *Lacerta saxicola* Eversmann parvula nov. var. Tiflis Mitt. *Kaukas. Mus.* 7:163–168.
- Larget, B. R., S. K. Kotha, C. N. Dewey, and C. Ané. 2010. BUCKy: gene tree/species tree reconciliation with Bayesian concordance analysis. *Bioinformatics* 26:2910–2911.
- Levin, B. A., A. A. Gandlin, E. S. Simonov, M. A. Levina, A. E. Barmintseva, B. Japoshvili, N. S. Mugue, L. Mumladze, N. J. Mustafayev, A. N. Pashkov, H. R. Roubenyan, M. I. Shapovalov, and I. Doadrio. 2019. Phylogeny, phylogeography and hybridization of Caucasian barbels of

- the genus *Barbus* (Actinopterygii, Cyprinidae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 135:31–44.
- Li, H., B. Handsaker, A. Wysoker, T. Fennell, J. Ruan, N. Homer, G. Marth, G. Abecasis, R. Durbin, and 1000 Genome Project Data Processing Subgroup. 2009. The sequence alignment/map format and SAMtools. *Bioinformatics* 25:2078–2079.
- Liu, L., S. Wu, and L. Yu. 2015. Coalescent methods for estimating species trees from phylogenomic data. *Journal of Systematics Evolution* 53:380–390.
- Long, C., and L. Kubatko. 2018. The effect of gene flow on coalescent-based species-tree inference. *Syst Biol* 67:770–785. Oxford Academic.
- Luijckx, P., E. K. H. Ho, M. Gasim, S. Chen, A. Stanic, C. Yanchus, Y. S. Kim, and A. F. Agrawal. 2017. Higher rates of sex evolve during adaptation to more complex environments. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 114:534–539.
- Lunter, G., and M. Goodson. 2011. Stampy: a statistical algorithm for sensitive and fast mapping of Illumina sequence reads. *Genome Res* 21:936–939.
- Malinsky, M., H. Svartal, A. M. Tyers, E. A. Miska, M. J. Genner, G. F. Turner, and R. Durbin. 2018. Whole-genome sequences of Malawi cichlids reveal multiple radiations interconnected by gene flow. *Nat Ecol Evol* 2:1940–1955.
- Mallo, D., and D. Posada. 2016. Multilocus inference of species trees and DNA barcoding. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 371:20150335.
- Martin, M. 2011. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet J.* 17:10–12.
- Mavárez, J., C. A. Salazar, E. Bermingham, C. Salcedo, C. D. Jiggins, and M. Linares. 2006. Speciation by hybridization in *Heliconius* butterflies. *Nature* 441:868–871.
- Maynard Smith, J. 1971. The origin and the maintenance of sex. Pp. 163–175 in G. C. Williams, ed. *Group selection*. Aldine-Atherton, Chicago.
- Mayr, E. 1942. *Systematics and the origin of species*. Columbia Univ. Press. New York.
- McDonald, M. J., D. P. Rice, and M. M. Desai. 2016. Sex speeds adaptation by altering the dynamics of molecular evolution. *Nature* 531:233–236.
- Méhely, Lajos Von 1909. Materialien zu einer Systematik und Phylogenie der muralis-ähnlichen Lacerten. *Annales historico-naturales Musei nationalis Hungarici, Budapest*, 7:409–621.
- Mendes, J., D. J. Harris, S. Carranza, and D. Salvi. 2016. Evaluating the phylogenetic signal limit from mitogenomes, slow evolving nuclear genes, and the concatenation approach. New insights into the Lacertini radiation using fast evolving nuclear genes and species trees. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 100:254–267.
- Morando, M., M. Olave, L. J. Avila, J. W. Sites, and A. D. Leaché. 2020. Phylogenomic data resolve higher-level relationships within South American Liolaemus lizards. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 147:106781.
- Moritz, C., W. M. Brown, L. D. Densmore, S. Vyas, S. Donnellan, M. Adams, and P. Baverstock. 1989. Genetic diversity and the dynamics of hybrid parthenogenesis in *Cnemidophorus* (Teiidae) and *Heteronotia* (Gekkonidae). Pp. 87–112 in R. M. Dawley and J. P. Bogart, eds. *Evolution and ecology of unisexual vertebrates*. New York State Museum, Albany, NY.
- Murphy, R. W., I. S. Darevsky, R. D. MacCulloch, J. Fu, and L. A. Kupriyanova. 1996. Evolution of the bisexual species of Caucasian rock lizards: a phylogenetic evaluation of allozyme data. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 3:18–3.
- Murphy, R. W., J. Fu, R. D. MacCulloch, I. S. Darevsky, and L. A. Kupriyanova. 2000. A fine line between sex and unisexuality: the phylogenetic constraints on parthenogenesis in lacertid lizards. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society* 130:527–549.
- Murtskhvaladze, M., D. Tarkhishvili, C. L. Anderson, and A. Kotorashvili. 2020. Phylogeny of Caucasian rock lizards (*Darevskia*) and other true lizards based on mitogenome analysis: optimisation of the algorithms and gene selection. *PLOS ONE* 15:e0233680. Public Library of Science.
- Newton, A. A., R. R. Schnittker, Z. Yu, S. S. Munday, D. P. Baumann, W. B. Neaves, and P. Baumann. 2016. Widespread failure to complete meiosis does not impair fecundity in parthenogenetic whiptail lizards. *Development* 143:4486–4494.
- Nikolsky, A. M. 1898. Dwa nowych wida jasceric iz Rossii [On two new lizards from Russia; in Russian and Latin]. *Annuaire Mus. Zool. Acad. Imp. Sci. St. Petersb.* 3:284–288.
- Ogilvie, H. A., J. Heled, D. Xie, and A. J. Drummond. 2016. Computational performance and statistical accuracy of *BEAST and comparisons with other methods. *Syst Biol* 65:381–396.
- Pabijan, M., P. Zieliński, K. Dudek, M. Stuglik, and W. Babik. 2017. Isolation and gene flow in a speciation continuum in newts. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 116:1–12.
- Pereira, R. J., F. S. Barreto, and R. S. Burton. 2014. Ecological novelty by hybridization: experimental evidence for increased thermal tolerance by transgressive segregation in *Tigriopus californicus*. *Evolution* 68:204–215.
- Pickrell, J. K., and J. K. Pritchard. 2012. Inference of population splits and mixtures from genome-wide allele frequency data. *PLoS Genet* 8:e1002967.
- Popov, S. V., I. G. Shcherba, L. B. Ilyina, L. A. Neveeskaya, N. P. Paramonova, S. O. Khondkarian, and I. Magyar. 2006. Late Miocene to Pliocene palaeogeography of the Paratethys and its relation to the Mediterranean. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol. Palaeoecol.* 238:91–106.
- Pritchard, J. K., M. Stephens, and P. Donnelly. 2000. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. *Genetics* 155:945–959.
- Pyron, R. A., F. T. Burbrink, and J. J. Wiens. 2013. A phylogeny and revised classification of Squamata, including 4161 species of lizards and snakes. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 13:93.
- Rato, C., M. Stratakis, D. Sousa-Guedes, N. Silero, C. Corti, S. Freitas, D. J. Harris, and M. A. Carretero. 2021. The more you search, the more you find: cryptic diversity and admixture within the Anatolian rock lizards (*Squamata, Darevskia*). *Zoologica Scripta* 50:193–209.
- Reich, D., K. Thangaraj, N. Patterson, A. L. Price, and L. Singh. 2009. Reconstructing Indian population history. *Nature* 461:489–494.
- Ronquist, F., and J. P. Huelsenbeck. 2003. MrBayes 3: Bayesian phylogenetic inference under mixed models. *Bioinformatics* 19:1572–1574.
- Roquet, C., S. Lavergne, and W. Thuiller. 2014. One tree to link them all: a phylogenetic dataset for the European tetrapoda. *PLoS Curr*, <https://doi.org/10.1371/currents.tol.5102670fff8aa5c918e78f5592790e48>.
- Sambrook, J., and D. W. Russell. 2001. *Molecular cloning: a laboratory manual*. CSHL Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY.
- Schultz, R. J. 1973. Unisexual fish: laboratory synthesis of a “species.” *Science* 179:180–181.
- Schumer, M., C. Xu, D. L. Powell, A. Durvasula, L. Skov, C. Holland, J. C. Blazier, S. Sankararaman, P. Andolfatto, G. G. Rosenthal, and M. Przeworski. 2018. Natural selection interacts with recombination to shape the evolution of hybrid genomes. *Science* 360:656–660.
- Schwander, T. 2016. evolution: the end of an ancient asexual scandal. *Current Biology* 26:R233–R235.
- Schwander, T., and B. J. Crespi. 2009. Twigs on the tree of life? Neutral and selective models for integrating macroevolutionary patterns with microevolutionary processes in the analysis of asexuality. *Molecular Ecology* 18:28–42.

- Soltis, P. S. 2013. Hybridization, speciation and novelty. *J. Evol. Biol.* 26:291–293.
- Spangenberg, V., M. Arakelyan, M. de B Cioffi, T. Liehr, A. Al-Rikabi, E. Martynova, F. Danielyan, I. Stepanyan, E. Galoyan, and O. Kolomiets. 2020. Cytogenetic mechanisms of unisexuality in rock lizards. *Sci Rep* 10:8697.
- Stankowski, S., and M. A. Streisfeld. 2015. Introgressive hybridization facilitates adaptive divergence in a recent radiation of monkeyflowers. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 282:20151666.
- Sudhir Kumar, Glen Stecher, Michael Li, Christina Knyaz, and Koichiro Tamura (2018) MEGA X: molecular evolutionary genetics analysis across computing platforms. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 35:1547–1549
- Tarkhnishvili, D., A. Gavashelishvili, A. Avaliani, M. Murtskhaladze, and L. Mumladze. 2010. Unisexual rock lizard might be outcompeting its bisexual progenitors in the Caucasus. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 101:447–460.
- Tarkhnishvili, D., M. Murtskhaladze, and A. Gavashelishvili. 2013. Speciation in Caucasian lizards: climatic dissimilarity of the habitats is more important than isolation time: speciation in Clade *Darevskia Rudis*. *Biol J Linn Soc Lond* 109:876–892.
- Tarkhnishvili, D., M. Murtskhaladze, and C. L. Anderson. 2017. Coincidence of genotypes at two loci in two parthenogenetic rock lizards: how backcrosses might trigger adaptive speciation. *Biol J Linn Soc* 121:365–378.
- Taylor, S. A., and E. L. Larson. 2019. Insights from genomes into the evolutionary importance and prevalence of hybridization in nature. *Nat Ecol Evol* 3:170–177.
- van Riemsdijk, I., J. W. Arntzen, S. Bogaerts, M. Franzen, S. N. Litvinchuk, K. Olgun, and B. Wielstra. 2017. The Near East as a cradle of biodiversity: a phylogeography of banded newts (genus *Ommatotriton*) reveals extensive inter- and intraspecific genetic differentiation. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 114:73–81.
- von Bedriaga, J. 1886. Beiträge zur Kenntnis der Lacertiden-Familie (*Lacerta*, *Algiroides*, *Tropidosaura*, *Zerzunia*, *Bettaia*). *Abh. Senckenb. Naturf. Ges.* 14:17–444
- Vrijenhoek, R. C. 1989. Genetic and ecological constraints on the origins and establishment of unisexual vertebrates. Pp. 24–31 in R. M. Dawley and J. P. Bogart, eds. *Evolution and ecology of unisexual vertebrates*. New York State Museum, Albany, NY.
- Wang, S., X. Ye, Y. Wang, Y. Chen, B. Lin, Z. Yi, Z. Mao, F. Hu, R. Zhao, J. Wang, et al. 2017. A new type of homodiploid fish derived from the interspecific hybridization of female common carp \times male blunt snout bream. *Sci Rep* 7:1–12.
- Wetherington, J. D., K. E. Kotora, and R. C. Vrijenhoek. 1987. A test of the spontaneous heterosis hypothesis for unisexual vertebrates. *Evolution* 41:721–731.
- Wielstra, B., T. Burke, R. K. Butlin, A. Avcı, N. Üzümlü, E. Bozkurt, K. Olgun, and J. W. Arntzen. 2017. A genomic footprint of hybrid zone movement in crested newts. *Evolution Letters* 1:93–101.

Associate Editor: A. G. McAdam
 Handling Editor: A. G. McAdam

Supporting Information

Additional supporting information may be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of the article.

Table S1 – Sexual samples used in the study

Table S2 - Parthenogenetic samples used for the ancestry analysis

Table S3 - Information for all ABBA/BABA tests performed here.